



WHEN IMMIGRATION AND TAX COVERAGE

By Paula N. Singer and Linda Dodd-Major¹



THOMSON REUTERS

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION

- I. Background
- II. Immigration Process
 - A. Visas
 - B. Immigration Status
 - C. Role of Immigration Documents in Tax Determinations
- III. Foreign Workers
 - A. Employment-Specific Status
 - B. Program-Specific Employment
 - C. Self-Employment
 - D. Dependents
 - E. Workers on the Outer Continental Shelf
 - F. Grant Payments for Services
- IV. Federal Tax Process
 - A. Rules for U.S. Tax Residents
 - B. Rules for U.S. Tax Nonresidents
 - V. Impact of Treaties
 - A. Income Tax Treaties
 - B. Social Security Agreements
 - C. Compacts of Free Association
 - D. Treaties of Friendship, Commerce, and Navigation
 - E. Jay Treaty
 - F. Vienna Conventions
 - G. North American Free Trade Agreement
 - H. Hague Convention
- VI. Identifying Tax Residents and Tax Nonresidents
 - A. U.S. Lawful Permanent Residents
 - B. Substantially Present Foreign Nationals
 - C. United States Tax Jurisdiction
 - D. Exempt-from-Counting-Days Individuals
 - E. End of Tax Residency Period
 - F. Loss of LPR Status
 - A. Considerations for Employers

VII. Data and Documentation For Determining U.S. Tax Residency

- B. Considerations for Payers

VIII. Taxpayer Identification Numbers

- A. Social Security Numbers
- B. Individual Taxpayer Identification Numbers

IX. Federal Tax Rules Tied to Immigration Status

- A. Foreign Diplomats and Consular Officers (A-1 and A-2 Principals)
- B. Employees of International Organizations (G-1, G-2, G-3, or G-4 Principals)
- C. Tax Nonresident Students and Scholars (F, J, M, or Q status)
- D. Seasonal Agricultural Workers (H 2A)
- E. Crewmen (D-1)

X. Impact of Immigration Status on Tax Treaty Benefits

- A. Purpose-of-the-Visit Articles
- B. Character-of-the-Payment-Articles
- C. Impact of Period of Stay
- D. Impact of Change in Status
- E. Treaty Benefit Claims

CONCLUSION

FOOTNOTES

INTRODUCTION

The world seems smaller and smaller, a shared international space, as more and more countries “go global.” Investments and trade are crossing borders like never before. Revenue-seeking economies are attracting tourism and investors, including real estate investors seeking residence or retirement at least part-time in warmer, nicer, or more interesting places. As any and all of these cross border activities occur, people travel across borders to make them happen or keep them happening. As that occurs, people engage in activities that are likely to be regulated by immigration law in the host country and money changes hands in transactions that are likely to be taxable.

Some U.S. players are new to internationalism and some have been at it for a long time. U.S. colleges, universities, and research institutions, seeking the “best and the brightest” internationally to fertilize their breeding grounds for new ideas, have been long-time players. As industry, finance, and even personal affairs follow their lead, however, less familiar players are finding that the going can get pretty rough, especially as United States payers also grapple with economic sanctions rules (against potential terror agents, for example) that apply to all payments of any kind, to anyone or anywhere in the world, making tax penalties for non-compliance seem mild in comparison ².

This article attempts to show how rough that going is, focusing on flaws and confusion in and between two important bodies of U.S. law that intersect to make compliance as complex as rocket science but as clear as mud. If the United States wants to continue to attract ideas, investments, and confidence as well as the “best and the brightest” people from around the world, and to continue to fund its operations through revenue collected from taxes while implementing foreign policy goals, it will have to pay a lot more attention not only to reforming U.S. tax law, but to making current laws clearer, better known, and reasonably possible to administer.

I. BACKGROUND

U.S. tax law features substantially different individual income tax regimes for individuals who are U.S. tax residents and those who are U.S. tax nonresidents³. The former include U.S. citizens, lawful permanent residents (LPRs) and nonimmigrants⁴ substantially present in the United States as defined by the Internal Revenue Code (IRC) and the latter includes all other foreign nationals with respect to any time spent in the United States. Federal tax rules for U.S. tax residency status, introduced by Congress for tax year 1985⁵ and set forth in IRC section 7701(b)⁶, conditioned U.S. tax residency of foreign nationals upon U.S. immigration status and days of physical presence in the United States. Under this system, U.S. tax residents are subject to U.S. income tax on their worldwide income regardless of the nature or source of the income or where they reside and/or work in the world. U.S. tax nonresidents, in contrast, are subject to U.S. income tax only on U.S.-source income that is effectively connected to a U.S. trade or business (which includes compensation for services performed in the United States unless an exception applies)⁷.

Consistent with the definitions in IRC section 7701(b), IRS forms, instructions, publications, and IRS.gov label U.S. tax residents and nonresidents as “resident aliens” and “nonresident aliens,” terms that are confusing both to foreign nationals and to their employers, payers, and advisors because they conflate tax and immigration terminology. To avoid confusion with the immigration terms “resident alien” and “nonimmigrant”, which have related but different meanings from tax residency labels used by IRS, foreign nationals who are “resident aliens” in tax parlance are referred to hereafter as “U.S. tax residents” and foreign nationals who are “nonresident aliens” in tax parlance are referred to as “U.S. tax nonresidents⁸.”

Since IRS forms and procedures incorporate immigration terms, forms, and procedures, thereby making basic understanding of such immigration terms and procedures vital to correct application of U.S. tax law, it is critical for payers and their advisors to understand fine distinctions among the terms as well as if, when, and how these terms apply. Unfortunately, as this article will discuss and clarify, immigration terms used for tax administration are not always used correctly, leading to more confusion about how the two bodies of law interdepend.

The purpose of this article is to show how immigration and tax law converge to determine how foreign nationals should be taxed⁹, creating a sort of gray area between the two that is fraught with administrative complexities and uncertainty of which many charged with that administration are unaware¹⁰.

II. IMMIGRATION PROCESS

One critical source of tax terminology confusion is IRS’ use of the term “visa.” Although IRS form instructions generally intend this term to refer to the U.S. immigration status of a payee and payers accordingly misunderstand the term “visa” as a proxy for immigration status, the terms have significantly different meanings. Most nonimmigrants enter the United States under one of an array of temporary classifications (e.g., F-1, J-1, H-1B, L-1, etc.), each with its own terms and conditions. Although most of those nonimmigrants have nonimmigrant visas issued by U.S. consulates abroad, however, and although the temporary classifications under which they are admitted to the United States match their visa classifications, the purpose of any visa has been served at the point of admission and it is never an operative immigration document inside the United States. Rather, the operative proof of status issued at a port of entry to the United States) is the Form I-94, Arrival/Departure Record. To reduce confusion, accordingly, the term “nonimmigrant status” or more generally “immigration status” is used instead of the term “visa” throughout the following discussion to refer to the classification under the Immigration and Nationality Act of a foreign national residing and/or working lawfully in the United States.

A. Visas

A visa is a travel document, needed only when the holder is outside the United States and needs it to travel to and apply for admission or readmission to the United States. A visa may be issued for immigrant (permanent admission) or nonimmigrant

(temporary admission) purposes. An immigrant visa is issued only to a foreign national eligible to use it for admission to the United States as a new immigrant approved for lawful permanent residence in the United States who will eventually be issued proof of permanent immigration status popularly called a “green card” because of the original color of the card (Form I-551).

Although reasons for a given visa’s period of validity vary, the validity period of a nonimmigrant visa often corresponds to the period over which the visa holder has been approved to remain and/or work in the United States under the same temporary classification as the visa. For example, an H-1B visa is typically valid for a preapproved period of three years, renewable if and when the approval period is extended in order for the nonimmigrant to continue to be able to travel in and out of the United States in H-1b status. In other cases, the validity period of a visa does not require preapproval and/or is unrelated to the admission period. For example, a prospective nonimmigrant visitor¹¹ with a 10-year B-1/B-2 visa may use that visa for U.S. admission as a visitor throughout that ten-year period, but will in most cases be admitted for a maximum of six months. Since both the foreign passport and nonimmigrant visa of an applicant for temporary admission to the United States must be valid at the time of admission, admission periods may be shorter than otherwise allowed if the foreign passport will expire less than six months from the date of admission.

Given its prominence in discussion of tax status, it is important and perhaps even surprising for payers to know that a visa is not even required for every temporary admission to the United States. For example, millions of foreign visitors are admitted to the United States every year under the Visa Waiver Program, which permits citizens of thirty-six (36) countries¹² to apply for admission to the United States as visitors based on their unexpired foreign passports (proof of citizenship). The same is true for Canadian citizen visitors, who not only do not need visas, but are rarely even issued Forms I-94¹³. In most cases today, visa waiver visitors get passport stamps¹⁴ at U.S. ports of entry and Canadian visitors are issued no documentation of U.S. immigration status at all.

A visa is of little if any value after the holder enters the United States until or unless it is needed for readmission under the same classification after departure from the United States (if there is no departure from the United States and need for readmission, no visa is needed). A visa may permissibly expire after the holder enters the United States without immigration consequences and a visa holder who changes status without leaving the United States from one temporary classification to another does not need to have or obtain a visa matching the new status immediately or at all if there will be no departure from the United States. A foreign national with an expired visa or no visa may still leave the United States and re-enter, but only after obtaining a new or renewed visa at a U.S. consulate abroad (no particular penalty applies to renewal of an expired visa).

B. Immigration Status

If properly endorsed, a Form I-94 includes three important pieces of information: the date of entry under the given temporary nonimmigrant classification, the symbol for that classification, and the expiration date of the admission period¹⁵. Where the symbol “D/S” appears in lieu of an expiration date, the I-94 refers to a supporting

document that is required in most cases for proof of status. Specifically, students and dependents in F or M status must also present and carry Form I-20, Certificate of Eligibility for Nonimmigrant Student Status, and exchange visitors and their dependents in J status must present and carry Form DS-2019, Certificate of Eligibility for Exchange Visitor Status. Program end dates included on these two documents provide specific dates to clarify the Form I-94’s “D/S” admission period.

The fact that a given applicant for temporary admission to the United States has a nonimmigrant visa affixed in the passport does not mean that temporary admission to the United States is limited to the classification of that particular visa. In fact, it is not uncommon for foreign nationals to have more than one type of unexpired nonimmigrant U.S. visa or to be eligible even with a visa to apply for admission to the United States under another classification that does not require a visa. At the port of entry, in such cases, it is critical for the applicant for admission to specify which of the nonimmigrant classifications is intended or, if the applicant is eligible for the VWP, that admission as a visitor is requested on that basis rather than on the basis of any nonimmigrant visa(s) in the passport. For example, a foreign national from a visa waiver country with an unexpired A (diplomatic) or G (international organization representative) visa might choose to enter the United States as a visa waiver visitor (WT waiver tourist status or WB waiver business status) in order to take advantage of the honorarium exception to nonimmigrant visitor restrictions (discussed below).

In most cases, Form I-94 is the operative proof of status¹⁶. Since nonimmigrant admission to the United States is permitted under only one classification at a time, a foreign national’s eligibility for more than one temporary classification is useless (with or without multiple visas or visa waiver eligibility) unless or until a new Form I-94 is obtained that reflects the different status. To obtain a new I-94, a foreign national must apply to change status without leaving the United States and obtain a new Form I-94A with the approval notice (a notoriously slow process) or leave the United States and apply for readmission under the new classification, issued a new Form I-94 at the port of entry or a passport stamp in the case of visa waiver visitor admission.

While a visa never serves as proof of U.S. status or of work authorization, Form I-94 in many cases serves as proof of both. For example, an H-1B employee uses the unexpired Form I-94 endorsed H-1b to satisfy the approved H-1b employer’s Form I-97 requirements, in most cases as part of List A combination including the unexpired foreign passport (identity component). On the other hand, not every H-1b alien uses the Form I-94 endorsed H-1b as proof of current work authorization. An H-1b alien who has been issued an Employment Authorization Document (EAD, Form I-766) due to pending adjustment of status to permanent resident, for example, may use that EAD for employment eligibility verification purposes even if the I-94 indicates unexpired H-1B status¹⁸.

D. Role of Immigration Documents in Tax Determinations

A visa may or may not be relevant at all to a tax determination. As noted above, an immigrant visa, which serves as proof of status and employment authorization during the period immediately following

a new immigrant’s admission to the United States (before the actual “green card” is received), is proof that the holder is a U.S. tax resident as well as a lawful permanent resident once the visa holder enters the United States (before entering the United States for the first time based on an immigrant visa, the holder is not yet a lawful permanent resident and therefore not yet a U.S. tax resident). A nonimmigrant visa, on the other hand, is irrelevant for any U.S. tax-related purpose except when an income tax treaty provision is available based on a payee’s purpose for entering the United States (such as to study, train, teach, or engage in research). The temporary visa is useful under that limited scenario to reflect the purpose for entering the United States (which may have changed since that time and disqualified the foreign national from treaty benefits) both because it was the basis of admission (discussed below) and because it indicates the place of issuance, which often coincides with the visa holder’s country of tax residency.

Other immigration-related tax matters, such as determination of U.S. tax residency based on substantial presence (discussed below) in the United States and tax treaty eligibility, depend on a combination of U.S. immigration history, current status as reflected on a Form I-94, and/or on the foreign national’s lack of status, if applicable. Lack of status is the result of entering the United States without inspection (EWI), overstaying the lawful admission period, or breaching the terms and conditions of nonimmigrant status. Although in all three of these situations foreign nationals lack authorization to be or work in the United States and therefore fall into the category popularly known as “illegal aliens,” they are not necessarily properly referenced by the gentler term “undocumented aliens.” Of the three, only EWIs were never issued U.S. immigration documents. Overstays have valid documents that have expired. Status violators are most difficult to identify if they have unexpired documents and the payer has no basis for knowledge about the loss of status.

III. FOREIGN WORKERS

Whether a foreign national is authorized for U.S. employment (a term that includes self-employment for immigration purposes) depends upon the foreign national’s immigration status and the terms and conditions of that status. A foreign national who has never had or lost status is never authorized to work, but if paid for U.S. services is not exempt from U.S. taxation on the income.

Many foreign born workers in the United States are bona fide (as opposed to intending) immigrants because they have naturalized and become U.S. citizens (these are in the same category as all other U.S. citizens for taxation purposes), have been granted lawful permanent residence in the United States (with or awaiting issuance of “green cards”), have entered the United States as refugees, or were granted permanent asylum in the United States after entering. All of these immigrants may reside in the United States and work without restriction and with limited exceptions in the case of refugees and asylees, deemed by tax law to be “US persons” and taxed accordingly.

Other foreign born workers reside and work in the United States without authorization. Employers and others who use and compensate their services may be aware of their status limitations (and any related immigration and/or tax consequences) or not (more would be if they were aware of and followed up on certain cues,

inferable per the discussion below). Under tax law, as the public is usually surprised to know, most unauthorized aliens in the United States have or will become U.S. tax residents (discussed below) and are also “U.S. persons” under tax law.

A third general category of foreign workers consists of nonimmigrants, foreign born persons who enter the United States lawfully for a litany of purposes and with a corresponding litany of restrictions that may or may not include restrictions upon employment. In other words, many lawful nonimmigrants may work in the United States without restriction, many may not work at all, and the final group may work only for certain employers and/or in certain U.S. jobs and/or under certain conditions. The collective terms used here for the nonimmigrant status of foreign nationals with classification-based U.S. employment restrictions are “employment-specific” and “program-specific.”

A. Employment-Specific Status

Foreign national employees who are “sponsored” by their employers for specifically approved temporary employment include the following:

- A-1/A-2 Diplomats
- D-1 Crewmen
- E-1/E-2 Treaty Traders and Investors
- E-3 Specialty Workers from Australia
- G-1/G-2 International Organization Employees
- H-1B Specialty Workers
- H-1C Nurses
- H-2A Agricultural Workers
- H-2B Seasonal Workers
- H-3 Trainees
- I Foreign Information Media Representatives or Designees
- L-1 Intra-company Transferees
- O-1/O-2 Outstanding Aliens
- P-1/P-2/P-3 Athletes or Entertainers
- R-1 Religious Workers
- TN Treaty NAFTA Workers from Canada or Mexico

B. Program-Specific Employment

F-1 Academic Students and M-1 Vocational Students, J-1 Exchange Visitors, and Q-1 Cultural Workers may be able to work in the United States under terms and conditions of their programs.

- F-1 students may work on campus in positions connected to the U.S. academic institution that issued the Form I-20, in Curricular Practical training or for international organizations if authorized on Form I-20 by the approved schools’ Designated Student Officials (DSO), or under Optional Practical Training (OPT) or based on hardship if they have applied for and received EADs¹⁹. Under any of these scenarios, students may work lawfully as employees or independent contractors if all other conditions of F-1 employment are met.

- M-1 vocational student employment is restricted to post-completion practical training endorsed by the approved school's DSO on the student's Form I-20 ID. Eligible graduates may apply for EADs valid for one month per every four (4) months of study, up to a maximum of six (6) months.
- J-1 Students may engage in on campus employment or Academic Training (corresponding to OPT for F-1 students) without EADs. Their work is authorized by the Responsible Officer (RO) or Alternate Responsible Officer (ARO) of their approved schools with notations on Forms DS-2019 generated when the authorization is entered in the federal government's Student and Exchange Visitor Information System (SEVIS). Under any of these scenarios, students may work lawfully as employees or independent contractors if all other conditions of J-1 student employment are met.
- Other categories of nonstudent J-1 Exchange Visitors may or may not be authorized for U.S. employment and even if so are subject to different terms and conditions of employment and self-employment based on the J-1 category and the specific J-1 program that issued the Form DS-2019. Although a given J-1 who is authorized to work in the United States may be eligible for employment or self-employment (paid as an employee or independent contractor) if all requirements are met, they are not issued and do not need EADs.
- Q-1 and Q-2 cultural exchange visitors are employment-specific if authorized to work for a given "sponsor" or by the U.S. Department of State. Q nonimmigrants are not eligible for EADs.

C. Self-Employment

Nonimmigrants who are subject to restrictions that require an employer-employee relationship between the "sponsoring" employer and the beneficiary are not permitted to act or be paid as independent contractors by the "sponsors" and may not engage in employment or self-employment²⁰ under any circumstances beyond the four corners of the "sponsor's" petition approved by USCIS²¹ (or an employment-based temporary admission adjudicated at a contiguous U.S. border under provisions of the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA)). As discussed above, program-specific nonimmigrants in F, J, M or Q status are subject to restrictions, but if all program conditions are met, they may work lawfully as employees or independent contractors.

O and P Status Exceptions: While it is the exception rather than the rule for nonimmigrants in employment-specific status to be independent contractors unless they have EADs, some types of U.S. employment of nonimmigrants routinely involve self-employment. For example, itinerary-specific foreign nationals such as O-1/O-2 Outstanding Aliens and P-1/P-2/P-3 Athletes or Entertainers are approved to work in the United States on the basis of an itinerary of performances that is submitted and approved with the petition. These employment-specific nonimmigrants may perform at the venues listed on the itinerary covered by USCIS' approval, but do not become employees and are paid as independent contractors (if O-1/O-2 Outstanding Aliens have been "sponsored" as employees of a petitioning employer rather through a petition filed by an agent they are treated like other employment-specific nonimmigrants and may not engage in self-employment activities and be paid as independent contractors).

TN Status Exception: Certain employment-specific nonimmigrants gain status based on contracts for services and if so, are self-employed and paid as independent contractors. For example, a nonimmigrant is eligible for admission under Treaty NAFTA (TN) classification if he or she presents a contract with a U.S. entity for services that require NAFTA schedule professional credentials and are independent and time-limited by nature such as required for systems analysis or management consulting.²³ O and P nonimmigrants typically have contracts, as well, governing the locations, terms, and conditions of their performances.

Honorarium Exception: Although the general rule is that nonimmigrant visitors²⁴ may not be employed or self-employed in the United States, an exception provided in the American Competitiveness and Worksite Improvement Act of 1998 (ACWIA)²⁵ permits an honorarium (compensation for services) to be paid for "usual academic activities lasting not longer than 9 days at any single institution." The exception restricts payers to qualifying institutions (higher education institutions and related research institutions and nonprofit or government research institutions) and payees to nonimmigrant visitors who have not accepted such payments or expenses from more than five institutions or organizations in the previous six-month period.²⁶

C. Dependents

The term "dependent" can have different meanings under U.S. immigration²⁷ and tax²⁸ law. In some cases, intersection of one of these bodies of law with the other can disqualify a dependent from benefits under the other body of law. For example, an F-2 dependent son or daughter of an F-1 who is exempt from counting days of "substantial presence" for purposes of U.S. tax residency determination (see discussion below) is eligible for the exemption only as long as her or she qualifies as a dependent under immigration law (unmarried and under age 21) even if the same term under tax law may cover other dependents under other circumstances.

Dependents of employment-specific aliens may or may not be authorized to work in the United States or eligible to apply for EADs. Dependents who are eligible and choose to do so may obtain employment-specific classification governing their U.S. work authorization that is independent of the status and employment authorization of nonimmigrant relatives through whom they could choose to obtain derivative status.

Employment authorization of dependents (spouses and unmarried children under 21), who choose to derive nonimmigrant status from principal aliens in employment-specific classifications varies greatly depending upon the classification. If authorized incident to status under 8 CFR 274a.12(a) or if they have obtained EADs under 8 CFR 274a.12(c), eligible dependents may work without restriction as employees or independent contractors for the duration of their status or with EADs that reflect the category on which work eligibility is based (for example, an adjustee authorized to work under 8 CFR 274a.12(c)(09) is issued an EAD reflecting CATEGORY C09). Many derivative dependents, such as those in F-2 or H-4 status, are not eligible to work in the United States at all.

Many dependents are recognizable by classification designations that are unique to dependents, but many are not. For example, although

F-2, J-2, H-4, and L-2 status are unique to dependents, nonimmigrant classifications such as A-1, A-2, G-1, G-2, E-1, E-2, and E-3 include both principal aliens and dependents, making distinction between the two confusing and due diligence challenging. Although immigration law and regulations clarify the possibility and nature of work authorization for these dependents, neither immigration nor tax law makes it simple, convenient or clear how to distinguish employment authorized from unauthorized dependents or to obtain information and/or documentation on which taxation determinations must be made. Although it is clear when an employee or independent contractor has an EAD that he/she is authorized for employment or self-employment, it is not evident from the EAD if the holder's derivative status (maintained only as long as the principal alien's status is maintained) is valid, what the holder's U.S. or foreign tax residency status is, or if an EAD-holder payee or is eligible for tax treaty benefits.

Although an EAD is generally issued to an alien who has entered the United States under or changed to a specific nonimmigrant classification under which unrestricted employment is authorized, that is not always the case. Certain nonimmigrants, for example, may be issued EADs in spite of lack of status or because adjustment of status to permanent resident is pending. Aliens who are ineligible for admission to the United States may be permitted to enter for humanitarian and other reasons on the basis of "parole"²⁹ that may or may not include work authorization or eligibility to apply for an EAD. Aliens in pending adjustment status, who are eligible for EADs, may also apply for "advance parole" that permits them to re-enter the United States as adjustees without specific classification. Although they lack status, other aliens may also be issued EADs pending adjudication of an application for cancellation of removal (deportation), if granted deferred action, or pending a final order of removal. The basis of issuance of an EAD, which appears as a letter-number CATEGORY on the front of a Form I-766, corresponds to the legal basis of eligibility under 8 CFR 274a.12(a) or (c).

D. Workers on the Outer Continental Shelf

In 1953 the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act³⁰ extended the Constitution and laws of the United States to property beyond the three-mile limit of state jurisdiction established by the Submerged Lands Act earlier that year³¹. The outer limit of the continental shelf is identified by a steep drop of the continental mass toward the deep ocean, which may occur hundreds of miles from shore. Section 1333(a)(1) of the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act extended federal jurisdiction to all artificial islands and fixed structures erected on the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS)³² to the same extent as if the OCS were an area of exclusive Federal jurisdiction located within a State. Although it has been settled through litigation that U.S. immigration law has no jurisdiction over services performed within this federal jurisdiction due to judicial interpretations of exceptions provided by Congress in the law, other federal laws such as tax law apply within the federal jurisdiction and state laws apply within the three mile limit of state jurisdiction.³³

Three basic categories of foreign nationals may engage in activities related to the exploration for, or exploitation of, natural resources on the OCS of the United States: 1) contractors that perform services on the OCS (such as seismographic testing, drilling, repair and salvage

work); 2) vessel operators that transport supplies and personnel between U.S. ports and locations on the OCS; and 3) owners and/or operators of foreign-registered vessels that bareboat or time charter to persons who are engaged in activities related to the exploration for, or exploitation of, natural resources on the OCS.³⁴

Immigration law has been deemed not to apply to the OCS, with the result that a U.S. immigration status or documentation that permits employment is not required.³⁵ Rather, foreign nationals working on the OCS are issued nonimmigrant visas designated Visitor for Business on the Outer Continental Shelf (B-1/OCS) for work on the OCS of more than 29 days duration based on a Certificate of Exemption issued by the U.S. Coast Guard³⁶. These individuals, who need the visas to enter the United States as visitors in order to reach points of embarkation for the OCS, may be either employees or independent contractors for U.S. tax purposes.

E. Grant Payments for Services

Although U.S. immigration law does not specifically address eligibility of a foreign national for a U.S. scholarship, fellowship, or grant, immigration restrictions apply if the funds are provided in return for or conditioned upon services performed in the United States that constitute "work". If so, regardless of any term used to describe the payment (such as "stipend"), the grantee must have appropriate work authorization in the United States.³⁷ A scholarship or fellowship that is not awarded in return for (or conditioned upon) services may be made to, or on behalf of, a foreign national who is engaged in full- or part-time study in the United States³⁸. However, such study may not impinge upon services provided by a foreign national whose nonimmigrant status has a primary purpose other than full-time study or independent research.³⁹ A grant awarded on various bases and/or for various purposes that do not depend upon services performed in the United States may be paid from a U.S. source to any immigrant or nonimmigrant. Determination of whether, and the extent to which, such funds are taxable to the recipients involves a delicate balancing of immigration and tax law.⁴⁰

IV. FEDERAL TAX PROCESS

The rules for federal taxation differ for U.S. tax residents and tax nonresidents with respect to withholding of taxes on income, income reporting, and submission of U.S. federal tax returns and disclosure forms.⁴¹

A. Rules for U.S. Tax Residents

US tax residents are generally subject to federal income taxes in the same manner as U.S. citizens, meaning that they are taxable to the United States on any income of any kind from any source paid in any currency unless an exemption applies. Wages paid to U.S. tax residents are subject to wage withholding (absent a treaty exemption) and Social Security and Medicare (FICA) taxes under the same rules that cover U.S. citizens. Wages paid to U.S. tax residents include amounts paid abroad for services as well as the value of benefits-in-kind such as housing.

All remuneration paid for services provided to a U.S. employer must be reported on Form W-2. If a U.S. tax resident is treaty-exempt on wage income, that income may be reported on Form 1042-S under

Exemption Code 04.⁴² Whether reported on a Form 1042-S or not, the income should not be included in box 1 of the W-2 (but may be required in other boxes of the W-2, such as for Social Security and Medicare wages, state wages, etc.). Reportable non-employee compensation paid to a U.S. tax resident is subject to 28 percent backup withholding if the recipient fails to provide a U.S. taxpayer identification number (TIN). Non-employee compensation of U.S. tax residents (including for work performed abroad) must be reported on Form 1099-MISC (box 7) if the amount paid during the tax year equals or exceeds \$600, or if any of the payments were subjected to backup withholding.

U.S. tax residents with worldwide income exceeding the income threshold for their filing status (single, married filing jointly, etc.) must submit a Form 1040 (or simpler Form 1040A or 1040EZ) tax return. Income earned in a foreign currency must be translated to U.S. dollars using IRS rules. Income from transactions such as rentals of foreign real estate must be recorded on returns according to U.S. tax principles and procedural rules. For example, residential real estate located outside the United States must be depreciated over 40 years. Double taxation is avoided by using foreign tax credits to offset the U.S. taxes attributable to the foreign source income.⁴³

Like U.S. citizens, foreign nationals who are U.S. tax residents based on LPR status remain subject to U.S. taxes on their worldwide income even when they live and work abroad. Rules to avoid or minimize double taxation of such expatriates by the United States and country of physical residence/employment are set forth in IRS Publication 54, U.S. Tax Guide for Citizens and Residents Abroad. Some rules, such as the bona fide residence test for claiming section 911 foreign-earned income exclusions, apply only to U.S. citizens unless the foreign national is a national of a country with which the United States has an income tax treaty.⁴⁴ That said, this opportunity under U.S. tax law should carefully and knowledgeably balance a claim of bona fide residence in a foreign country against requirements of U.S. immigration law if the U.S. tax resident is a lawful permanent resident of the United States who does not intend to abandon that status.⁴⁵

Certain long-term lawful permanent residents who lose status by abandonment may be subject to special reporting and tax rules depending on the date they lost LPR status (discussed below). Under expatriation provisions of U.S. tax law, foreign nationals who have held LPR status for any part of 8 out of 15 contiguous calendar years ending with the year of loss of status (called long term residents), may be subject to an exit tax as well as other special procedures.⁴⁶ Special rules and procedures that vary according to the date on which status is lost are described in IRS Publication 519, U.S. Tax Guide for Aliens under the heading “Expatriation Tax” and on the IRS website at IRS.gov.

B. Rules for U.S. Tax Nonresidents

Nonimmigrants who are U.S. tax nonresidents are subject to U.S. income tax only on U.S.-source income and income that is effectively connected with the conduct of a U.S. trade or business (“ECI”) that generally includes compensation for services in the United States. Wages paid to U.S. tax nonresidents are subject to special rules described in IRS Publication 15, Circular E, Employer’s Tax Guide. Non-employee compensation (and any other type of U.S.-source income payment except wages subject to wage withholding) paid to a U.S. tax nonresident are subject to 30 percent withholding (called “NRA

withholding”) unless an exception applies and paperwork required to support the exception is provided prior to payment. Special FICA exceptions apply to U.S. tax nonresidents under certain circumstances (discussed below).

Non-employee compensation, treaty-exempt wages and all other U.S.-source income except wages subject to wage withholding, and taxes withheld (if any), must be reported on Form 1042-S information return rather than Form 1099. The payer of such income has a Form 1042 tax return requirement as well.⁴⁷ A payer (called a “withholding agent” because of the obligation to withhold U.S. taxes from payees’ income) who fails to withhold and remit taxes is obligated to pay the under withheld taxes and may be subject to a variety of penalties as well as interest.

Because U.S. tax rules source compensation for services where the services are performed, compensation paid abroad for services provided in the United States is subject to U.S. tax, withholding, and reporting unless an exception applies.⁴⁸ All remuneration for U.S.-source employment is subject to these rules even if the foreign national receiving the income is not yet in the United States. For example, a signing bonus for future employment is considered U.S. employment income.⁴⁹ If a signing bonus happens to be paid in the calendar year before the prospectively approved employee enters the United States, the employer is put into the awkward position of withholding U.S. payroll taxes and issuing a Form W-2 even though the prospective employment has not started, no services were actually performed during the tax year, the employee does not yet have U.S. immigration status, and/or the payee has no SSN (unless one was issued for a prior work-authorized employment) and cannot get one from SSA without current nonimmigrant status and evidence of employment authorization. Awkward or not, a foreign national payee in this situation must submit a U.S. tax return showing employment income without ever having set foot in the United States or performed any services at all for the payer during the tax year.

U.S. tax nonresidents who receive compensation for U.S. services must file a Form 1040NR or 1040NR-EZ tax return unless their only U.S. income consists of wages under the personal exemption amount. Only specified U.S. tax nonresidents may claim additional exemptions for spouses and dependent(s),⁵⁰ even if their dependents are U.S. citizens. Deductions and credits for U.S. tax nonresidents are very limited. For example, the standard deduction may not be claimed (except by students and business apprentices from India) and the child tax credit is only available to U.S. tax nonresidents eligible to claim their children as dependents⁵¹. Foreign nationals who are both U.S. tax nonresidents and tax residents during a given tax year (called “dual-status taxpayers”) must submit dual-status tax returns.⁵² U.S. tax nonresidents who fail to file a required return within 16 months from the original due date of the return lose deductions and credits⁵³ that are available to timely filers.

V. IMPACT OF TREATIES

The United States has income tax treaties with over 60 countries⁵⁴ and social security agreements (called totalization agreements) with over 20 countries.⁵⁵ A tax resident of a country with which the United States has an income tax treaty or social security agreement (or both) may be exempt from U.S. tax if conditions for the exemption are met

and applicable procedures are followed. Exemptions from U.S. tax under other treaties for specific categories of individuals covered by the treaty have been incorporated into the Internal Revenue Code. A number of treaties provide immigration benefits, such as entry to the United States without a visa and work authorization, without addressing the U.S. taxation of the covered individuals. When a treaty does include a specific exemption from tax, as with the Vienna Conventions (discussed below) Congress incorporates that tax exemption into the Internal Revenue Code.

A. Income Tax Treaties

Exemption from U.S. tax under an applicable income tax treaty generally applies only to U.S. tax nonresidents because income tax treaties include a “saving clause” preserving the right of the United States to tax its citizens and residents.⁵⁶ All treaties but two (Greece and Pakistan) include an exception from the saving clause for treaty provisions covering studying, training, teaching, or engaging in research. Exceptions to the saving clause are generally not available to foreign nationals who have become U.S. citizens or LPRs, although the U.S. tax treaty with the Former USSR, which covers the nine Newly Independent States⁵⁷ that have not yet negotiated individual tax treaties with the United States, along with the treaty with the Peoples’ Republic of China, allow this exception from the saving clause for LPRs. That said, potentially applicable portions of the U.S. treaty with the Former USSR require residency in the treaty country throughout the benefit period and both the former USSR and China treaties stipulate that potentially eligible individuals must be in the United States “temporarily,” a requirement that is clearly at odds with LPR status. These are important distinctions for withholding agents, which may be understandably reluctant (seldom having all relevant facts) to grant tax treaty benefits even to LPRs from those countries that allow saving clause exceptions that appear on the surface to cover them.

Unlike all other treaty provisions that require individuals claiming treaty benefits to be tax residents of the treaty country at the time the treaty-exempt income is paid or when activities giving rise to the treaty-exempt income occur, the provisions for students, trainees, teachers, and researchers generally only require tax residence in the treaty country at the beginning of the nonimmigrant stay in the United States or when the foreign national is invited to come to the United States for the purpose of the visit described in the article such as studying, training, teaching, or engaging in research (as usual, however, there are exceptions such as the one noted in the prior paragraph as well as the Teacher/Researcher Article of the treaty with Japan). Allowing these foreign nationals to keep their treaty benefits even if they are no longer tax residents of the treaty country recognizes the reality that foreign nationals lose their tax residency status under the internal law of a residence-based taxation country either immediately, if leaving indefinitely, after a specified period of time outside that country, or when they no longer have a nexus to the treaty country such as a permanent home. Rules vary by country.

To be exempt from withholding from their U.S. income, U.S. tax nonresidents must provide their employers or payers with prescribed documentation such as a Form 8233 processed in accordance with the form’s instructions or a special Form W-9 in the case of treaty-exempt U.S. tax-resident students and scholars. Even if exempt from withholding and tax, however, such Income is reportable unless it

is completely excludable from income. For example, compensation exempt from withholding under a tax treaty must nevertheless be reported to the recipient and the IRS on a Form 1042-S information return. If the treaty-exempt income such as compensation for U.S. services constitutes ECI, the income recipient is required to submit a U.S. tax return substantiating the treaty claim.⁵⁹

B. Social Security Agreements

Exemption from U.S. Social Security and Medicare coverage and taxes under an applicable social security agreement may be available to a foreign national employee regardless of U.S. tax residency status. For example, foreign nationals temporarily assigned by their foreign employers to an affiliated company in the United States for a period of time not expected to exceed five years might be exempt from FICA under a detached worker provision of an applicable agreement.⁶⁰ At the end of the detached worker period, or when the duration of the assignment becomes indefinite, social security coverage changes to the country where the services are being performed. Also, foreign nationals making voluntary contributions to their home country social security systems relating to their pay for U.S. services may remain covered by their home country system instead of being covered by and paying into the U.S. social security system. A foreign national claiming exemption from FICA must provide a certificate of coverage issued by the appropriate foreign social security agency⁶¹ or by the U.S. Social Security Administration.

Under new procedures for imposing self-employment tax on tax nonresidents as allowed under certain agreements, some U.S. tax nonresidents may be obligated to pay U.S. FICA and Medicare tax with their Form 1040NR or Form 1040NR-EZ tax returns.⁶²

C. Compacts of Free Association

Three countries - the Federated States of Micronesia, the Republic of Palau, and the Republic of the Marshall Islands - have entered into Compacts of Free Association (CFA) with the United States. Although citizens of these countries are considered to be foreign nationals under U.S. immigration law, CFA agreements allow covered individuals to apply for admission to the United States without visas, to reside in the United States indefinitely, and to be employed without restriction in the United States. CFA documentation consists of a passport issued by a CFA state and a Form I-94 stamped with the country’s specific designation - CFA/FSM (Micronesia), CFA/MIS (Marshall Islands), or CFA/PAL (Palau).⁶³

CFA citizens who are physically present in the United States are subject to the same tax rules and procedures as other foreign nationals. Their days of physical presence are counted for purposes of determining their U.S. tax residency status and their earnings are subject to U.S. withholding, reporting, and taxation in accordance with their residency status as U.S. tax residents or nonresidents. Because they are work-authorized, they are eligible for Social Security numbers (SSNs) as discussed below.

D. Treaties of Friendship, Commerce, and Navigation

The United States enters into Treaties of Friendship, Commerce, and Navigation (FCN) for the purpose of encouraging American investment abroad. These treaties secure reciprocal rights for

the treaty partners, granting protection for their businesses and individuals operating in the United States, and assuring businesses of the treaty partner the most favorable treatment afforded to any other foreign business (called “most favored nation” status or MFN). Citizens of MFN countries are subject, however, to the same tax rules and procedures as other foreign nationals. Citizens of MFN countries do not get more favorable tax treatment from an MFN treaty with the United States than are available under an applicable U.S. tax treaty.⁶⁴

E. Jay Treaty

Under Article III of the Jay Treaty (a treaty between Canada – then a British colony – and the United States, whose respective territorial borders had not yet been well defined), aboriginal people were accorded the right to trade and travel between the United States and Canada. This right was vested in the Immigration and Naturalization Act as follows: “Nothing in this title shall be construed to affect the right of American Indians born in Canada to pass the borders of the United States, but such right shall extend only to persons who possess at least 50 per centum of blood of the American Indian race.” North American Indians who are citizens of Canada and meet the Jay Treaty test may, like their counterparts who are citizens of the United States, reside and work in the United States without restriction as employees or independent contractors. Because they are work-authorized, they are eligible to apply for SSNs (discussed below) and SSA is equipped to make the complex Jay Treaty-based eligibility determination.

Although the same treaty provision (Article III) prohibits the United States and Great Britain from imposing any excise taxes, tariffs, tolls or duties on North American Indians, it does not exempt individuals covered by this treaty from U.S. income taxation.⁶⁵

F. Vienna Conventions

The Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations provides individuals who hold diplomatic or consular officer positions (A-1 nonimmigrants in the United States) with a range of specified privileges and immunities⁶⁶ including tax exemptions as follows:

- Article 33 of the Convention provides that “a diplomatic agent shall with respect to services rendered for the sending State be exempt from social security provisions which may be in force in the receiving States.” Exemption from Social Security applies only to wages paid by a foreign government that has assigned a diplomatic agent to a host country and not to wages paid by any other employer.
- Article 34 provides that “A diplomatic agent shall be exempt from all dues and taxes, personal or real, national, regional or municipal...” The Convention proceeds to list categories of taxes not included in this exception, including “(d) dues and taxes on private income having its source in the receiving State and capital taxes on investments made in commercial undertakings in the receiving States.” In other words, the provision does not exempt the private income of diplomatic agents from U.S. taxation.

Similarly, Articles 48 and Article 49 of the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations provide exemptions from social security taxes and income taxes, respectively, for consular officials not covered by the Diplomatic Convention and for consular employees and family members living in their households who are paid for services rendered

to their home government as long as they are not permanent residents of the United States as defined by the Convention.

The exemptions from tax have been incorporated into U.S. tax law (discussed below), and expanded to cover A-2 nonimmigrants in the United States who, for purposes of the Convention on Consular Relations, are considered to be residing permanently in the United States. Although these foreign-government employees are not entitled to privileges and immunities including exemption from tax under the Convention, they are eligible for the same tax exemptions as apply to foreign-government employees who are covered by the Convention.⁶⁷

G. North American Free Trade Agreement

The North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) facilitates admission to and employment in the United States of certain Canadian and Mexican citizens. NAFTA created TN classification for designated Canadian and Mexican professionals as well as special procedures for TN admissions to the United States. To qualify for TN classification, a position offered in the United States must require services of a NAFTA Schedule 2 professional (as specified under the agreement and periodically updated) and the prospective TN employees must possess the credentials required as well as proof of qualifying citizenship.

Members of NAFTA Schedule 2 professions who are self-employed outside the United States may pursue business relationships (i.e., contracts for services) from outside the United States and obtain TN status to engage in prearranged services that constitute self-employment in the United States.⁶⁸ Even under NAFTA, Canadian and Mexican citizens admitted to the United States as nonimmigrant visitors are not permitted to establish U.S. business offices to service U.S. clients and TN nonimmigrants may not provide services to any U.S. entity in which they are controlling owners or shareholders.

Whether employees and independent contractors in the United States, TN nonimmigrants are subject to U.S. rules for determining tax residency, withholding, reporting, and taxation that apply generally to foreign nationals in the United States unless they are exempt under applicable income tax treaties and/ or Social Security agreements.

H. Hague Convention

The United States is an official signatory of a multilateral agreement issued in 1961 known as The Hague Convention Abolishing the Requirement of Legislation for Foreign Public Documents (“Hague Convention”). Under provisions of this agreement, a citizen of one signatory country required to present certified copies of documentation to government officials of another signatory country may get copies of required documents certified by “competent authorities” of each country rather than the agencies that issued the original documents. To implement this provision, competent authorities complete a one-page form known as an “apostille” that they sign or impress with a seal⁶⁹. In turn, the apostille is attached to a copy of that document needed by the other government.

An apostille 1) authenticates signatures on the document(s), certifying the capacity in which the signatory has acted or 2) certifies the validity of the seal or stamp that appears on the documents. Attachment of an apostille avoids the time-consuming process of sending an original document to government officials of the other country as well as the

necessity to have a given document certified by the issuing agency or diplomatic or consular officers of either government. A common situation that illustrates the convenience of the apostille option features a foreign national living abroad who must submit Form W-7 to the IRS to obtain an ITIN for a U.S. tax administrative purpose (discussed below) who may attach an apostille to photocopies of an original passport, national identity card, birth certificate, etc. instead of submitting original (perhaps one-of-kind and/or difficult to replace) documents.

VI. IDENTIFYING TAX RESIDENTS AND TAX NONRESIDENTS

The first task for U.S. employers and certain other U.S. payers of foreign national payees (discussed below) is to determine U.S. tax residency status (the requirement to substantiate U.S. tax residency status applies as well to foreign nationals preparing U.S. income tax returns and related filings). Under IRC section 7701(b), foreign nationals are U.S. tax residents if they are U.S. lawful permanent residents (under the “green card test”) or meet the substantial presence test. All other foreign nationals are U.S. tax nonresidents unless they are eligible for and elect U.S. tax resident status.⁷⁰

A. U.S. Lawful Permanent Residents

U.S. lawful permanent residents (LPRs) are U.S. tax residents incident to that status. Foreign nationals who are LPRs at any time during a given calendar year are U.S. tax residents for that year. U.S. tax resident status begins on the first day of physical presence in the United States in LPR status⁷¹. Foreign nationals who adjust status from nonimmigrant to immigrant (LPR) have often already become U.S. tax residents by that time due to cumulative substantial presence in the United States under the Substantial Presence Test. LPRs remain U.S. tax residents until their LPR status is revoked or administratively or judicially determined to have been abandoned.⁷² To avoid U.S. obligations incident to LPR status, formal steps must be taken to relinquish status or prove revocation. Expiration of immigrant documentation or lengthy (or permanent) absences from the United States alone does not accomplish this. While immigrants who leave the United States for extended periods may seem to have discontinued permanent ties to the United States, abandonment must be official to be effective.

B. Substantially Present Foreign Nationals

Foreign nationals who have not been granted permanent resident status in the United States are U.S. tax residents under the Substantial Presence Test (SPT) for any calendar year in which they are physically present in the United States for 31 or more countable days and their countable U.S. days over a three-calendar-year period equal or exceed 183 days based on a total number of days calculated by the following formula called the Substantial Presence Test (SPT):⁷³

- all of the countable U.S. days in the current calendar year;
- plus 1/3 of the countable U.S. days in the prior year;
- plus 1/6 of the countable U.S. days in the year before the prior year.

Countable days include any day or partial day that a foreign national spends in the United States, regardless of the purpose of the admission and whether he or she receives U.S.-source income and is

subject to U.S. taxation during the period of physical presence in the United States or not. Certain days, such as those spent in the United States as an “exempt individual”⁷⁴, do not count for purposes of this tax residency test. In addition to the rules for exempt individuals (discussed below), a special rule for not counting U.S. days applies to members of the regular crew of a foreign ship (but not a foreign aircraft) engaged in transportation between the United States and a foreign country or a U.S. possession. Their days of temporary presence in the United States do not count provided they are not engaged in their own trade or business in the United States on such days.⁷⁵

The U.S. tax residency start date for a foreign national who is substantially present is the first countable U.S. day in the first calendar year that the foreign national becomes a U.S. tax resident under the SPT explained above. If that first countable day is not January 1, the substantially present foreign national will be a dual-status taxpayer and be subject to a dual-status tax return filing requirement unless eligible for a full-year residency election.⁷⁶ Exceptions to tax residency status such as the closer connection to a foreign country (than to the United States) may be available to eligible foreign nationals under U.S. tax law⁷⁷ or under an applicable U.S. income tax treaty.⁷⁸ U.S. tax resident status may also be elected by foreign nationals who meet certain conditions⁷⁹ that apply regardless of U.S. immigration status.

C. United States Tax Jurisdiction

IRC section 7701(a)(9) defines “United States” as the States and the District of Columbia when used in a geographical sense, with the result, for example, that any day spent in a U.S. possession or U.S. territory⁸⁰ is not a countable day for substantial presence purposes. U.S. possessions are comprised of two groups, 1) those with their own governments and tax systems (Puerto Rico, U.S. Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands) and 2) those lacking their own governments and tax systems (Midway Island, Wake Island, Palmyra Island, Howland Island, Johnston Island, Baker Island, Kingman Reef, Jarvis Island, and other U.S. islands, cays, and reefs that are not part of any of the fifty states).

When personal services occur offshore as discussed above with respect to the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS), any entity that compensates those services must determine whether that portion of the ocean where the activities occur is within U.S. jurisdiction. As also discussed in part above, however, jurisdiction of U.S. law depends upon whether the offshore personal services at issue relate to 1) mines, oil and gas wells, and other natural deposits or 2) ocean activities.

For most purposes including taxation, US jurisdiction extends to “territorial seas” such that presence within this offshore area is construed to be presence within the United States.⁸¹ Although territorial seas of the United States extend to twelve nautical miles in conformance with international law,⁸² jurisdiction over personal services with respect to mines, oil and gas wells, and other natural deposits has been extended to include the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS). Accordingly, days spent on the OCS engaged in covered services are deemed to take place in the United States and are counted under the SPT for U.S. tax residency purposes.⁸³

Whether days spent at sea are countable or not for the SPT depends on whether those days are spent on “ocean activities,” defined

broadly as any activity on or under water outside the geographic boundaries of the United States.⁸⁴ Services are outside the geographic boundaries of the United States if they are performed outside the 12-mile limit and therefore not within the territorial seas of a U.S. possession or foreign country. For purposes of substantial presence determination (which does not consider U.S. possessions), accordingly, days that feature services performed outside the 12-mile limit are not countable for the SPT.

D. Exempt-From-Counting-Days Individuals

Three categories of nonimmigrants remain U.S. tax nonresidents indefinitely or longer than other nonimmigrants incident to their immigration status and/or purpose for entering the United States because their days of physical presence do not count for purposes of the SPT: 1) foreign-government related individuals, 2) students, and 3) teachers and trainees.⁸⁵

1. Foreign-Government Related Individuals are nonimmigrant diplomats and consular officers and their accompanying spouses and dependent children admitted under A classification as principal aliens or derivative dependents, as well as employees of eligible international organizations⁸⁶ and their accompanying spouses and dependent children admitted under G classification (their accompanying employees in A or G status are not exempt). Further details regarding dependent children in derivative A or G status, along with all other nonimmigrants who are exempt-from-counting-days, are available in IRS Publication 519, U.S. Tax Guide for Aliens.

2. Students for SPT purposes are nonimmigrants who are studying full-time in the United States as F-1 Academic Students or M-1 Vocational Students as well as J-1 Exchange Visitors in either the Student or Student Intern category. The term “Students” also includes F-2, M-2 and J-2 dependents and Commuting Students from Canada or Mexico in F-3 or M-3 status.⁸⁷ These foreign students are exempt from counting U.S. days of physical presence for five calendar years in a lifetime (beginning with 1985 when applicable U.S. tax residency rules took effect). A prior period in the United States as an exempt individual in any of the five years, whether as a Student or as a J or Q nonstudent (as defined below), causes that year to count as exempt for purposes of this formula.⁸⁸ For purposes of determining exempt days, the five-calendar-year exemption from counting days is a cumulative lifetime period, i.e. does not restart after a period of absence from the United States. Students who do not intend to reside permanently in the United States may continue to claim exempt individual status by submitting statements supporting their claims with their Form 1040NR tax returns.⁸⁹

3. Teachers and Trainees are foreign nationals admitted temporarily to the United States as nonimmigrants as J-1 Exchange Visitors in any of the 16 Exchange Visitor categories other than Student or Student Intern or under Q-1 and Q-2 International Cultural Exchange Alien status.⁹⁰ (Qualifying J-1 and Q-1 nonimmigrants are referred to collectively as “nonstudents”.) The term “teachers and trainees” also includes J-2 and Q-3 dependents. This particular use of the term “teachers and trainees” does not, however, include teachers or trainees in other nonimmigrant status such as professors and teachers in E-3, H-1B, O-1, or TN status or trainees in H-3 status.⁹¹

J and Q nonstudents are exempt from counting days in the United States under the SPT for two out of the current seven calendar years. Any day in any calendar year as an exempt individual causes the calendar year to count as an exempt year even if the foreign national received no pay during that year. A prior period in the United States as an exempt individual in any of the current seven years, whether as a J or Q nonstudent or as a Student (as defined above), causes that year to count as exempt for purposes of this formula.⁹² If all of a J or Q nonstudent foreign national’s remuneration is from a foreign employer (except a foreign government entity),⁹³ their calendar years that are exempt from counting days increase from two to four. Exemption applies as well to dependents in derivative status, based on their own days of U.S. presence rather than the days of presence of the principal aliens from whom they derived status.

E. End of Tax Residency Period

A foreign national’s U.S. tax residency end date is deemed to be December 31 of the final calendar year of physical presence in the United States under qualifying circumstances. Departing nonimmigrants may choose earlier end dates (typically the last day of physical presence, ignoring 10 de minimis noncontiguous days) under the closer connection exception described in Publication 519.⁹⁴ The deemed December 31 end date also applies to LPRs who lose LPR status in the tax year⁹⁵ either by revocation or abandonment. This rule does not apply, however, to long-term residents subject to IRC section 877A Expatriation Tax rules. The permanent status of such former LPRs ends on the date of adjudication. As a result such former LPRs are dual-status taxpayers in their final year of LPR status because the adjudication would not occur on January 1, a legal holiday.

F. Loss of LPR Status

As mentioned above, in order for LPR status to cease and for responsibility for U.S. taxation on an LPR’s worldwide income to end, status as an LPR must either be revoked by a U.S.-government authority or formally abandoned by the LPR.

When a U.S. immigration authority initiates revocation, an LPR’s status is considered to have been lost when a final administrative order is issued. If the order is appealed, abandonment is final when a final judicial order of abandonment is entered.⁹⁶ As a practical matter, such a judicial or administrative order may be issued many years after an LPR left the United States because the review of abandonment is initiated when the foreign national seeks to re-enter the United States as an LPR after a long absence. When such review takes a long time to work its way through the appeals process, the LPR’s tax residency status and obligation for U.S. tax returns and related disclosure filings is temporarily in limbo until an official termination date is decided and revealed.

LPR status may be revoked by a U.S. immigration authority or intentionally abandoned by an LPR who seeks to terminate permanent U.S. status. That said, an LPR may lose status inadvertently, such as in a leading case on U.S. tax residency, *Matter of Guiot*, which held that an LPR who claims U.S. tax nonresidency status for federal income tax purposes (by filing no income tax return at all or by filing a return as a U.S. tax nonresident), may be deemed to have abandoned the “green card”. Although tax treaty

provisions may supersede federal tax law, USCIS may determine that an LPR who has set up residence in another country, elects U.S. tax nonresident status under a treaty tiebreaker rule, and submits a Form 1040NR or 1040NR-EZ with Form 8833 for the nonresidency claim under the treaty, has abandoned his or her U.S. permanent resident status (which will result, in turn, in an official finding).⁹⁷

For U.S. tax purposes, foreign nationals who seek to abandon their LPR status must submit to a U.S. immigration or consular authority a completed and signed Form I-407, Abandonment of Lawful Permanent Resident Status, or the Permanent Resident Card (Form I-551) attached to a letter stating their intention to abandon LPR status.⁹⁸ The letter must be sent via certified mail, return receipt requested (or the foreign equivalent). A photocopy of the submission and proof of mailing serve as adequate evidence of abandonment under IRS rules.⁹⁹

Unfortunately, most LPRs who leave the United States have no idea or notice of their ongoing obligation to pay tax to the United States on their worldwide income unless or until they attempt to satisfy IRS standards for termination of LPR status. Paradoxically, this could include a scenario under which an LPR remains obligated to pay U.S. taxes even though he or she remains outside the United States too long (thereby not maintaining close enough ties to the United States) to be readmitted as an LPR. IRS Publication 519 cautions, in fact, that unless or until an LPR possesses proof of termination per the discussion above, the taxpayer remains a U.S. tax resident even if the U.S. immigration authority would not respect the LPR status as valid because the “green card” has expired or due to extended absence from the United States. As a result of widespread ignorance about the intersection of U.S. immigration and tax laws, unfortunately, countless foreign nationals who obtained LPR status and later departed the United States for employment, retirement, or personal reasons may have assumed that they terminated U.S. immigrant status automatically but are now at risk of obligation for U.S. taxes (plus penalties and interest) for failure to comply with U.S. tax return and disclosure obligations.¹⁰⁰

There are no instructions for how LPRs seeking to abandon their LPR status voluntarily may submit Form I-407 or relinquish their Forms I-551 (“green cards”) to a U.S. immigration authority as IRS procedures seem to assume is possible. In fact, even if formal procedures are followed, the actual date of abandonment is not necessarily clear. Form I-407 instructions state that this form “is designed to provide a simple procedure to record the voluntary abandonment of residence by an LPR. Form I-407 is used by consular officers and immigration officers.” The form may be filed at an embassy or consulate.¹⁰¹ The same form may be requested upon U.S. border inspection of LPRs who attempt to re-enter the United States as LPRs after a long absence, but anecdotal reports suggest that the same procedure does not consistently apply in reverse if an LPR’s “green card” is surrendered upon departure and deprives a former LPR in such case of any evidence of abandonment to use for tax purposes.

The date of LPR status termination is no clearer when a Form I-407 is filed because three different dates are possible: 1) Line 6(c), Date of Abandonment, 2) Line 6(e), date with the Signature of Alien who certifies that “I have read and understand the above statements,

etc.,” and 3) Line 9, the date on which the form is administratively made part of the alien’s official record. In fact, of the possible options, the dates on Line 6(c) and (e) are probably the only ones that the LPR would even know (the date on which the form administratively becomes an official record might be far into the future or not at all, considering lengthy and uncertain processing times for USCIS forms).

VII. DATA AND DOCUMENTATION FOR U.S. TAX RESIDENCY DETERMINATIONS

Employers and payers must collect sufficient data about foreign national payees’ immigration status and history of physical presence in the United States to determine their U.S. tax residency status.

A. Considerations for Employers

In many if not most or all cases, employer entities start accumulating data about their employees before a job offer is made or accepted. If they hire on the basis of job applications, resumes, interviews, etc., these documents are typically placed in a personnel or other internal file. At some time following acceptance of the job offer and the end of the first day of work, an employer must at least begin the process of verifying the employment authorization of the new hire by having the employee attest to current work authorization (or work authorized status) in Section 1 of a Form I-9. By the end of the process, perhaps before payroll processing starts or concludes, the employer “knows” a great deal about the new employee.

Once employees are hired and work authorization is verified on a completed Form I-9 (although it is permissible for payroll processing to occur before the I-9 process is concluded,¹⁰² this policy risks the possibility that employment eligibility will not be confirmed after an employee has been processed through payroll but has to be terminated), employers must determine the U.S. tax residency status of the employees in order to apply the proper wage withholding rules, Form W-4 completion rules, and available exemptions from tax based on tax residency status (discussed below). Typically, payment officials charged with these determinations do not receive any of the information or documentation already collected about a new employee by other officials or divisions of the employer entity and start the process of data collection anew (presuming that they are informed enough to know it is necessary).

Since tax treatment differs in most cases based on U.S. tax residency status, and since all U.S. citizens are also U.S. tax residents, step one is to distinguish U.S. citizens from foreign nationals who might be nonresidents for U.S. taxation purposes. Keeping in mind that much information about a new employee has likely already been collected by the employer and/or appear on a Form I-9, it is important that new information gathered for taxation purposes does not conflict with data that has already been collected and that data and document collection imposed by the employer for taxation purposes is not confused with other new hire processes such as to create the impression of discrimination on the basis of citizenship or national origin.

In *U.S. vs Maricopa County Community College*¹⁰³, for example, the college confused the I-9 and W-4 processes, giving the misimpression that data required for taxation determinations were preconditions to verification of employment eligibility in cases where I-9 standards

were independently met. In this complaint issued by the Office of Special Counsel for Unfair Immigration-Related Employment Practices (“OSC”), the source of the problem was the “Non-U.S. Citizen Employee Tax Data Form” used by the school to collect data for tax determinations. Believing that they were complying with their U.S. tax obligations, school personnel conflated I-9 and W-4 processes in such a way as to require certain documents necessary for tax administration to satisfy I-9 requirements. The eventual settlement agreement, based to some extent on the fact that IRS does not specifically require this tax data form (or specify any procedure at all for making tax determinations about employees who might be U.S. tax nonresidents), stipulated that the school would no longer use data collected for tax administration as a condition of employment.

While the lesson of Maricopa County is relevant and valuable, it did not resolve the uncertainty and complexity of tax determinations that employers must make. On the one hand, tax determinations should not be imposed as a condition of employment (if Form I-9 is properly completed). On the other hand, a tax determination should not ignore relevant information collected in the I-9 process or information collected in the process of tax administration that provides constructive notice¹⁰⁴ to the employer of a conflict regarding the employee’s work eligibility. The balancing act is delicate but important.

U.S. tax residency determinations require information about nonimmigrant employees’ past and projected physical presence in the United States and their U.S. immigration history. For example, it is appropriate for payment professionals to request documentation of current U.S. immigration status from employees whose current U.S. tax residency is impacted by prior periods spent in the United States (such as for nonimmigrant employees in current F, J, M, or Q status who spent previous periods in the United States in one of those categories).¹⁰⁵ Information required about an employee for tax purposes (to the extent that it is not collected from other officials or departments who have already received it) may be collected on a manual or automated form (such as a “foreign national information form” in use by some payers since 1997¹⁰⁶) to which copies of supporting documents are attached or relate.¹⁰⁷ In cases where employers have accepted employee attestations of U.S. tax residency status in lieu of data collection and documentation, IRS has held the employers liable for under withheld taxes where it found other information or documentation in the employer’s internal records that conflicted with the attestations.

As discussed above, W-4 and I-9 processes have separate compliance purposes and should be administered separately to avoid discrimination problems. Also mentioned, however, is the reasonableness if not necessity to coordinate information between the two processes in case information collected to comply with one law might undermine compliance with the other law. For example, although SSNs are optional in Section 1 of the Form I-9 (except for E-Verify employers), a Social Security Card (SSN Card) is the most common document presented to prove current work authorization in Section 2. Accordingly, an SSN collected on a Form W-4 should be the same SSN as appears on the Form I-9 but conversely, an employer should draw an inference from appearance of a TIN that is not an SSN (identifiable by its noncompliant format) on a W-4 that the employee

may not actually be authorized to work because every work authorized alien is eligible for an SSN (cases where a new employee might be eligible to work but not yet have an SSN are discussed below).

In addition to highlighting conflicts, coordination of the I-9 and W-4 processes can help payment personnel in other ways. For example, they can identify nonimmigrant employees whose U.S. tax residency is uncertain because they will check the bottom box in Section 1 of the Form I-9 (“alien authorized to work until...”) and specify the expiration dates of their work authorization from their Forms I-94 (in cases where “D/S” appears in lieu of an expiration date, supplementary documentation such as the Form I-20 or DS-2019 provides the required expiration dates). As well as identifying potential U.S. tax nonresidents, however, Forms I-9 also confirm U.S. tax residency determination if the new employees attest on Forms I-9 to being U.S. citizens or lawful permanent residents who are U.S. tax residents by operation of law.

B. Consideration for Payers

Payers are allowed by IRC section 1441 regulations to presume that payee individuals for whom they have no documentation (a Form W-9¹⁰⁸ as a certificate of U.S. status or a Form W-8BEN as a certificate of foreign status¹⁰⁹) is a “U.S. person” (meaning U.S. tax resident, as many persons would not understand) unless they know or have reason to know otherwise.¹¹⁰ There are some situations when an independent payer determination of a payee’s U.S. tax residency is unavoidable. For example, a foreign national seeking to claim an exemption from, or reduction in, withholding on most types of U.S.-source under an applicable income tax treaty provision must generally be a U.S. tax nonresident because of the saving clause included in all U.S. tax treaties. Exceptions to that general rule are generally allowed for U.S. tax residents only under articles providing benefits for payments related to studying, engaging in training, teaching or engaging in research in the United States (discussed above). Allowance of tax treaty benefits also involves collection by the payer of a Form 8233 that requires very specific information from the payee that may conflict with information provided otherwise about U.S. tax residency (as well as about current U.S. immigration status and eligibility to work).

Payments related to U.S. activities such as self-employment implicate U.S. tax residency because “work” performed (employment or self-employment) by a foreign national in the United States must be authorized and any entity that accepts the services of a foreign national “knowing” or deemed to “know” that the services were not authorized becomes subject to employer sanctions¹¹¹. This scenario raises several issues. First, although immigration law is concerned with work performed rather than payment made, payment typically reflects that services have been performed by the payee and accepted by the payer. Second, the fact that services were performed in the United States demonstrates that the contractor spent time in the United States that could have been sufficient for him or her to become a U.S. tax resident and therefore ineligible for treaty benefits. Third, a claim of foreign status on a Form W-8BEN by a foreign national with a U.S. address raises a due diligence obligation on the part of payer to examine and determine whether the payee is actually a U.S. tax nonresident based on a combination of U.S. immigration status and history of physical presence.

To be clear, the specific nonimmigrant classification of a foreign national compensated for independent services performed in the United States is not relevant for tax treaty purposes beyond its effect (if any) on the payee’s U.S. tax residency status. Although exemptions from tax under an income tax treaty provision are not tied to a payee’s immigration status, however, risk-averse payers routinely request information about their foreign independent contractors both for immigration and tax purposes. For tax purposes, even contractors that perform services outside the United States that ordinarily result in payments considered foreign source income may actually be U.S. tax residents that are taxable to the United States on their worldwide income. For immigration purposes, although a Form I-9 is not required for independent contractors, it is important to avoid situations where payments are due for services that were not authorized and should never have been accepted. If authorization for the particular self-employment is confirmed before the services are performed, the painful result of learning of the conflict after it is too late, at the Accounts Payable stage when the payment is due for services already rendered, is avoided. This result is painful in that it creates a tax record of the payment that can and may eventually be used to prove that unauthorized “work” was performed for the payer, by the payee, and that the payer knew it.

Specific additional information and certifications are required of individuals claiming eligibility for the honorarium exception to the general rule that nonimmigrant visitors may not “work” in the United States. Without collection of information and documentation, it is impossible for payers to confirm that the honorarium exception applies based on “9/5/6” restrictions discussed in more detail above.

VIII. TAXPAYER IDENTIFICATION NUMBERS

Although no federal law requires any payee to have a U.S. taxpayer identification number (TIN) in order to be paid, foreign nationals need U.S. TINs for several other reasons:

1. Tax rules require foreign nationals who are employed in the United States to provide U.S. Social Security numbers (SSNs) needed for Form W-2 income reports that their employers must submit to IRS. Since immigration law requires foreign nationals who work in the United States to be authorized for the employment, and since they are eligible for SSNs if they can prove current work authorization, the two requirements are complementary.
2. Most payroll systems require an SSN from each employee at the outset of employment for payroll purposes.
3. For exemptions from tax under an applicable income tax treaty (on income that is other than investment income on publicly traded investments and related loans) to be honored by the payer, foreign nationals must record a TIN on the withholding certificate on which the treaty exemption from withholding is claimed (Form W-8BEN, Form W-9, or Form 8233).
4. A foreign national obligated to file U.S. tax returns needs a TIN in order to submit the tax return and TINs must be listed for any dependents on which personal exemptions are claimed. The very latest point at which a TIN can be requested is on a Form W-7 filed with the tax return (discussed below).

A. Social Security Numbers

A U.S. Social Security number (SSN) was originally intended to track an employee’s wages and related Social Security taxes for purposes of funding future Social Security benefits for those workers covered by the U.S. Social Security system (which, as discussed below, not all work-authorized foreign nationals are). Although for many years SSNs were issued liberally to foreign nationals who were not authorized to work and needed the numbers as identifiers for other U.S. purposes, since September 2002 only foreign nationals authorized to work in the United States at the time of application are eligible to receive them.

SSA’s use of current work authorization as a basis for SSN issuance facilitates U.S. tax IDs for foreign nationals with temporary as well as permanent U.S. work authorization, with the result that many temporary workers who obtain SSNs lawfully during periods of temporary work authorization have and can present those SSNs after their work authorization has expired. Together with foreign nationals who were issued SSNs for non-work purposes prior to September 2002, accordingly, foreign nationals with SSNs are present in much greater numbers in the United States than foreign nationals with current work authorization (in other words, the fact that an individual has a SSN is not proof that he or she is authorized to work – although an SSN card is prima facie documentation of work eligibility in the United States, an SSN card is not valid as proof of work authorization if issued or used improperly and certainly not if it is not real¹¹²).

Due to current procedural and substantive limitations of SSN processing¹¹³, at least three categories of work-authorized foreign nationals cannot get SSNs.

1. Certain foreign nationals cannot as a practical matter obtain SSNs if, despite proof of current work authorization, the authorized employment period does not last until the 10th day after admission to the United States and/or their immigration documents indicate that they will no longer be present in the United States for at least 30 days following application so that the SSN cards on which their SSNs will be recorded can be received by mail (SSA will not send SSN cards outside the United States). Examples of nonimmigrant employees who commonly face this situation are J-1 Short-Term Scholars and entertainers or athletes who enter the United States in O or P status for the first time for performances of brief duration.
2. Nonimmigrant visitors for business or pleasure who are eligible to work in the United States under the honorarium exception (discussed above) face different problems. Although authorized to work under the exception, their documentation makes them indistinguishable from other nonimmigrant visitors who are not authorized to work. In both of these situations, foreign nationals with lawful authorization to work, who should technically be eligible for SSNs, have no choice but to apply for ITINs either with their U.S. tax return or under the pre-tax return exception available for honorarium payment recipients.
3. Foreign employees working on the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS, discussed above) are not eligible to apply for SSNs if the nature of their services does not require them to be officially authorized to work (and thereby have official proof to present

to SSA). Wages for work performed on the OCS by employees in B-1/OCS status are subject to wage withholding and to FICA taxes (unless an exception applies such as under a Social Security agreement) and to reporting on Form W-2. However, their wages may not be recorded under an ITIN.¹¹⁴

B. Individual Taxpayer Identification Numbers

A foreign national who is ineligible for an SSN, but can demonstrate a federal tax administrative need for a U.S. TIN, may apply to IRS for an individual taxpayer identification number (ITIN) on a Form W-7 (such applications will not be accepted from applicants who appear to be eligible for SSNs). In spite of difficulties created for employers and payers whose U.S. tax reporting is frustrated if not undermined by the many cases that fall into cracks between SSN and ITIN rules (and complaints about these difficulties), it remains unclear whether foreign nationals who appear eligible to apply for SSNs because they are work-authorized, but cannot obtain SSNs for any of the reasons featured in the examples above, would be considered ineligible to obtain SSNs for purposes of qualifying for ITINs so that pre-return ITINs can be issued for them to qualify for available tax treaty benefits (if all else fails in these gray area situations, it may work to submit a rejection letter from SSA as a basis for ITIN eligibility).

Generally, application made for an ITIN must be submitted with a U.S. tax return to the IRS ITIN Unit at the address indicated in the Form W-7 instructions rather than to addresses for submission given in the tax form instructions (the ITIN Unit issues the ITIN and records it on the tax return before submitting the return to the tax return processing unit).¹¹⁵ Instructions for Form W-7 (the ITIN application) identify and discuss supporting documentation that must be notarized/certified and submitted with the application unless processed through a Certified Acceptance Agent.¹¹⁶ Exceptions for individuals to obtain pre-tax return ITINs for reasons such as tax treaty claims for exemption from withholding (which are also tax administration-related reasons for obtaining ITINs), along with supplemental documentary requirements, are explained in the Form W-7 instructions.

IX. FEDERAL TAX RULES TIED TO IMMIGRATION STATUS

The Internal Revenue Code (IRC) provides several longstanding exemptions from, or reductions in, income tax and related withholding. While tax law does not tie the U.S. tax benefits to immigration status per se with respect to these exemptions from income taxes, immigration law regulates activities of foreign nationals in the United States by designating nonimmigrant classifications to foreign nationals engaged in the specified activities (discussed above). Therefore, nonimmigrants in the classifications discussed below who meet conditions for exemption from income tax are covered but are not necessarily the only nonimmigrants covered. The IRC also includes exemptions from FICA for specific classifications of foreign workers, as do rules for exceptions from the requirement to submit an interim tax return (called a “sailing permit”) on a Form 1040C with IRS prior to departure.

A. Foreign Diplomats and Consular Officers (A-1 and A-2 Principals)

IRC section 893 exempts employment compensation of employees of foreign governments from U.S. income tax,¹¹⁷ exempting as well the Income of C-2 or C-3 nonimmigrants in transit to and from the United Nations Headquarters District and foreign countries if compensation for their services is paid by the foreign government.¹¹⁸ This exemption applies to wages for official services if similar to services performed by U.S. government employees in foreign countries and the State Department has certified that those foreign countries exempt U.S. government employees performing similar services from their income tax rules. This exemption does not apply to services that relate primarily to commercial activities or are performed by a commercial entity controlled by the foreign government.

IRC section 3121(b)(11) and companion regulations exempt foreign consular officers and other employees and non-diplomatic employees of foreign governments from FICA tax. IRC section 3121(b)(12) and its regulations similarly exempt employees of an entity owned by a foreign government if the services performed are similar in character to services performed by employees of the U.S. government or an entity owned by the U.S. government, and the foreign government grants an equivalent exemption for such U.S. government services in that country. This blanket FICA exemption applies whether or not compensation for U.S. services are also exempt from U.S. income tax.¹¹⁹

These exemptions from U.S. income and FICA tax do not cover wages of dependents of diplomats or other foreign-government worker dependents, which are subject to standard rules for nonresident alien taxation until or unless they become U.S. tax residents by changing or losing the nonimmigrant diplomatic status that exempts them from counting days of physical presence in the United States under the SPT (discussed above). Representatives of foreign governments with diplomatic passports and members of their households (including their accompanying servants with diplomatic passports) who leave the United States indefinitely are exempt from filing a sailing permit with IRS prior to departure, as are employees of foreign governments and members of their households if, due to other tax exemptions covering their employment, they have no taxable income in the United States.

B. Employees of International Organizations (G-1, G-2, G-3, or G-4 Principals)

IRC section 893 also exempts from U.S. income taxation any employment compensation paid by an international organization¹²⁰ for the official services of its employees.¹²¹ IRC section 3121(b)(15) and companion regulations exempt the same compensation from Social Security and Medicare taxes.¹¹⁹ Neither of these exemptions applies to wages or self-employment income of dependents, which are subject to standard rules for nonresident alien taxation as long as they remain U.S. tax nonresidents under the SPT (as discussed above, derivative dependents of nonimmigrant employees of international organizations do not count days of physical presence in the United States as long as they remain in derivative status). Like foreign government employees discussed immediately above, nonimmigrant employees of international organizations and nonimmigrant members of their households who leave the United States indefinitely

are exempt from filing sailing permits with IRS if, due to other tax exemptions covering their employment, they have no taxable income in the United States.

C. Tax Nonresident Students and Scholars (F, J, M, or Q status)

Many specific tax rules and procedures apply to foreign nationals in F, J, M, or Q status. For example, IRC Section 873(b)(2) exempts compensation paid by a foreign employer from U.S. income tax under the following two conditions:

1. The foreign national is a tax nonresident present in the United States in F, J, or Q nonimmigrant status and
2. The foreign national is paid by, or on behalf of, a foreign employer¹²² If that employer is a tax nonresident individual, a foreign partnership of foreign corporation, or a branch or place of business maintained in a foreign country by a domestic corporation, domestic partnership, or U.S. citizen or tax resident (for this purpose, a foreign employer does not include a foreign government or foreign government agency).

Foreign nationals employed by these organizations are exempt from U.S. tax on their salaries as discussed above. Foreign nationals in J or Q nonstudent status who qualify for this exemption and receive all of their U.S. income from their foreign employers remain exempt from counting days of physical presence toward U.S. tax residency for four out of seven years rather than the standard two out of seven (discussed above under the residency rules for teachers and trainees).

F, J, M, or Q nonimmigrants (except derivative dependents) are exempt from U.S. Social Security and Medicare taxes under IRC section 3121(b)(19) if they remain U.S. tax nonresidents, compensation relates to U.S. services that carry out their purpose for their entry to the United States, and the individuals intend to remain temporarily present in the United States. Commonly referred to as the “NRA FICA exemption,” it ceases to be available if and when an F, J, M, or Q nonimmigrant becomes a U.S. tax resident under the SPT (usually because they remain in or return to the United States after their exemption from counting days of physical presence has expired).¹²³

U.S. tax nonresidents in F, J, M, or Q status are deemed to be engaged in the conduct of a U.S. trade or business by IRC section 871(c). Accordingly, their taxable scholarship and fellowship grants may be offset by allowable deductions and a personal exemption amount and taxed at graduated rates on a Form 1040 or 1040NR-EZ tax return. U.S. tax nonresident students and scholars in F, J, M, or Q status who receive taxable noncompensatory scholarship or fellowship grants are subject to withholding at a rate of 14 percent¹²⁴ rather than the standard 30 percent NRA withholding rate¹²⁵ if they are a candidate for a degree¹²⁶ at qualifying U.S. educational institutions. Payers in such circumstances are not required to collect withholding certificates from the payees in order to apply the reduced rate of withholding.¹²⁷ Although payers of such taxable grants may elect to subject the payees to wage withholding at graduated rates, they must nevertheless be reported on Form 1042-S rather than Form W-2.

A nonimmigrant in F, J, M or Q status¹²⁸ who receives a taxable scholarship or fellowship but does not meet these requirements is subject to 30 percent withholding on grant income unless it is paid

by one of the payers specifically allowed to withhold at the 14 percent rate.¹²⁹ All other U.S. tax nonresident recipients (nonimmigrant students in a nonqualifying temporary status) are subject to a 30 percent rate of withholding on their taxable grants.¹³⁰ Payers of taxable grants to F, J, M, or Q status nonimmigrants may (but are not required to) offset the grant income with a withholding allowance (deduction) recorded on Form 1042-S for the personal exemption amount and for payments covering expenses that do not qualify for exclusion from income under an accountable plan.¹³¹

As discussed in more detail above, foreign nationals who leave the United States indefinitely must file a sailing permit with IRS prior to departure. Foreign students, trainees, and exchange visitors in F-1, F-2, H-3, H-4, J-1, J-2, or Q status are exempt from this requirement if they receive no income from U.S. sources other than allowances and reimbursements to cover expenses incidental to their study or training, income from authorized U.S. employment, and interest exempted from U.S. taxation by the tax code.

D. Seasonal Agricultural Workers (H 2A)

Special rules apply to foreign agricultural workers temporarily working in the United States under H-2A nonimmigrant classification. They are exempt from FICA under IRC section 3121(b)(1) whether they are U.S. tax residents or nonresidents. In addition, payments for such agricultural labor made to H-2A alien agricultural workers are not considered “wages” for the purposes of wage withholding and unemployment tax.¹³²

Prior to 2011, compensation paid to H-2A workers was subject to Form 1099 information reporting whether the payees were U.S. tax residents or nonresidents.¹³³ Form 1099-MISC (box 3) was specified for reporting the total amount paid to the worker during the calendar year if the compensation equaled or exceeded \$600. Beginning with 2011, however, an employer must report compensation of \$600 or more paid to an H-2A agricultural worker on Form W-2 in box 1. No amounts should be reported for Social Security wages (box 3) or Medicare wages (box 5) or for these wages respectively on line 2 or line 4 of Form 943, Employer’s Annual Federal Tax Return for Agricultural Employees. Under the new rules, the employee and employer may agree to wage-withholding, in which case the employee must provide a Form W-4, Employee’s Withholding Allowance Certificate. Withholding rules including deposit requirements are described in IRS Publication 51 (Circular A) Agricultural Employer’s Tax Guide.¹³⁴

The compensation of agricultural workers in H-2A status who fail to provide SSNs for information reporting purposes to their employers is subject to 28 percent backup withholding (not wage withholding because the compensation is not “wages”). Compensation subject to backup withholding must be reported on a Form 1099-MISC (box 3) not on Form W-2. An employer must submit a Form 945, Annual Return of Withheld Federal Income Tax for these payments and taxes.

E. Crewmen (D-1)

Crewmembers of a ship or aircraft are exempt from U.S. income tax if their services were performed outside the United States, but wage withholding is required from payments for services performed in the United States. When an employee travels in and out of the United

State frequently and regularly as airline personnel do, compensation must be apportioned on a time basis and the pro rata portion that covers U.S. services subjected to wage withholding unless the three-pronged commercial traveler exception or another exception applies.¹³⁵ IRC section 861(a)(3) exempts compensation for services performed by U.S. tax nonresident crewmen of a foreign ship (but not of a foreign aircraft) from U.S. income tax if the compensation is treated as foreign source income because (1) the services are performed in connection with the employee's temporary presence in the United States as a regular member of the foreign ship's crew and 2) the foreign ship is engaged in transportation between the United States and a foreign country or U.S. possession. In addition, most U.S. income tax treaties specifically exempt from U.S. income tax "remuneration ...derived by a resident of a Contracting State in respect of an employment as a member of the regular complement of a ship or aircraft operated in international traffic...."¹³⁶

Crewmembers of a ship or aircraft are exempt from U.S. FICA under IRC section 3121(b)(4) if the carrier and employer are foreign or if the services are performed outside of the United States¹³⁷. Nonimmigrant crewmembers of an American ship or aircraft are subject to FICA if 1) their services are performed in the United States, 2) they signed on the ship or aircraft in the United States, or 3) they signed on outside the United States but the ship or aircraft touches a U.S. port while the crewmember is performing employment services for the carrier.¹³⁸

X. IMPACT OF IMMIGRATION STATUS ON TAX TREATY BENEFITS

U.S. tax treaties include two types of provisions (called "articles") under which exemptions from U.S. income (called a "benefits" may be available): 1) articles based on the primary purpose of a foreign national payee's presence in the United States and 2) articles based on the character of the payment (i.e., interest, dividends, royalties, etc.). As discussed above, a foreign national payee's period of tax residency in the U.S. treaty partner country, along with his or her U.S. tax residency status, generally determines the availability of benefits conferred respectively under these two types of articles. Eligibility for tax treaty benefits does not necessarily depend upon particular U.S. immigration status, compliance with terms and conditions of status, or any status at all. That said, claims of benefits may be restricted based on information or documentation that otherwise eligible aliens may not have.

A. Purpose-of-the-Visit Articles

Student/Trainee and Teacher/Researcher Articles confer treaty benefits upon foreign nationals who are residents of the treaty partner country upon admission to the United States for the primary purpose of studying, securing training, teaching or engaging in research.¹⁴⁰ IRS generally follows immigration classifications in terms of purpose-based treaty eligibility unless facts support a more appropriate determination.¹⁴¹ The following are examples of nonimmigrants commonly eligible for U.S. tax treaty benefits under purpose-of-the-visit articles, particularly at higher education and research institutions:

- Since nonimmigrant students include F-1 Academic Students, J-1 Exchange Visitors in the Student category, and M-1 Vocational Students, foreign students engaged in practical training as

teachers or researchers might be eligible for the Student/Trainee Article benefit but not for the Teacher/Researcher Article benefit because their primary purpose for being invited to the United States or for their temporary presence in the United States (depending on the wording of the applicable treaty provision) is still to study as permitted under their nonimmigrant status. Conversely, if they change to a nonimmigrant status that features teaching or engaging in research such as J-1 Professor or Research Scholar, they are no longer eligible for benefits under a Student/Trainee Article.

- Nonimmigrant trainees include J-1 Exchange Visitors in the Trainee, Intern, or Student Intern¹⁴² categories, H-3 Trainees, J-1 Alien Physicians, with a Form DS-2019 issued under a medical training program, and H-1B Specialty workers approved for U.S. employment that constitutes medical training.
- Nonimmigrant researchers include foreign nationals in J-1 Research Scholar programs and perhaps J-1 Short-Term Scholar programs, as well as researchers in H-1B or O-1 status if the petition and supporting documentation submitted to USCIS by the sponsoring employer confirm that the foreign national is engaged in research for a qualifying organization under the treaty article.
- Nonimmigrant teachers include J-1 Teachers, J-1 Professors, and H-1B or O-1 employees if the sponsoring employer's petition and supporting documentation confirm that they are engaged in teaching at an educational institution.

Nonimmigrants admitted to the United States for another purpose, such as dependents of qualifying principal aliens, are not eligible for purpose-related treaty benefits. If they or other nonimmigrants who are not eligible for treaty benefits change to F-1 or J-1 status that has a treaty benefit purpose, they are generally not eligible for treaty benefits because they did not enter the United States for the purpose of the treaty article (the purpose of a derivative dependent is to accompany a principal alien). In many cases, these dependents are disqualified from treaty benefits anyway if they are no longer tax residents of the U.S. tax treaty partner country.

B. Character-of-the-Payment-Articles

This type of treaty article works differently from the type of treaty article that depends upon purpose. For tax exemption to be available under this type of article, nonimmigrant status and primary purpose in the United States of a nonimmigrant who receives payments of the following types of income are not relevant:

- Income from Employment (aka "Dependent Personal Services")
- Income from Self-employment (aka "Independent Personal Services")
- Income from artistic and athletic performances

Newer U.S. tax treaties and treaties updated with amendments called "protocols" provide self-employment treaty benefits under Business Profits Articles. If a treaty includes a Director's Fees Article as most do, directors are not eligible for treaty benefits. Artists and Athletes are called Entertainers and Athletes (or Sportsmen) in newer treaties to clarify that the articles are intended to apply to

performers. To be eligible for treaty benefits as an entertainer or athlete on gross receipts from a performance (provided that the treaty article maximum is not exceeded), a given nonimmigrant must also be eligible under either the Income from Employment Article or Self-Employment (or, in newer treaties, Business Profits) Article. Entertainer and Athlete Articles only apply to individuals and never to bona fide business entities whose employees are providing performances in the United States (a dance troupe, for example).

To be eligible under these articles, nonimmigrants must have maintained tax residency in the treaty partner country. Furthermore, foreign nationals who become tax residents in the United States lose the benefits of these articles because no saving clause (discussed above) provides exceptions for them.¹⁴³ Absent a tax treaty exemption, compensation for U.S. employment paid to U.S. tax nonresidents is subject to wage withholding and reporting on Form W-2. Compensation for self-employment income paid to U.S. tax nonresidents is subject to 30 percent withholding and reporting on Form 1042-S (even though the recipient is taxed at graduated rates on his or her tax return).¹⁴⁴

C. Impact of Period of Stay on Treaty Benefits

Tax treaty benefits are available to nonimmigrant Students, Trainees, Teachers, and Researchers who are temporarily present in the United States for the purpose of the treaty provision. Most treaty articles that provide treaty benefits for compensation from teaching or research have a two-year benefit limit, following which the compensation is subject to standard U.S. tax and applicable withholding. Eligibility under various treaties vary, since some treaty articles limit the benefits based on a projected or actual period of stay and others may be used only once in a lifetime. The treaty benefit for teaching and research under the treaty with China provides the unique benefit of "three years in the aggregate," meaning that once the aggregate threshold is reached, the individual is never again eligible for the benefit. Accordingly, teachers and researchers who maintain tax residency in China may use this over the course of repeated visits to the United States.¹⁴⁵

TEMPORARY PRESENCE

By definition, nonimmigrants are temporarily present in the United States. In contrast, immigrants (defined above) intend to reside permanently in the United States. Although a foreign national may be in the United States temporarily under immigration law, IRS may determine that presence for purposes of a tax treaty provision is not temporary because the foreign national overstayed a specified benefit period instead of returning home. Unfortunately, IRS has not defined or explained the term "temporary" to clarify which nonimmigrants and/or what circumstances are covered by tax treaty provisions that require "temporary" presence in the United States.

Confusion about "temporary" for treaty purposes arises for another reason in the context of the saving clause of the treaty with China. U.S. tax treaties include a saving clause (discussed above) denying benefits for U.S. citizens and residents. Most treaties provide for an exception to the saving clause for benefits conferred under Student/Trainee Article and Teacher/Researcher Articles but deny this exception to U.S. citizens and LPRs (with the result that foreign nationals who are U.S. tax residents because of their substantial U.S. presence can

keep the benefits.) The U.S. tax treaty with China is different in that it allows LPRs to retain tax treaty benefits under Article 19 (Professors, Teachers, and Researchers). However, Article 19 only allows benefits to residents of China who are "temporarily present" for the purpose of teaching or engaging in research, and LPRs are not by definition "temporarily present."

The exception from the saving clause for LPRs under the all treaties raises one other issue. It is not clear when these benefits must be denied to foreign nationals in the LPR application process -- when they file Form I-485 applications to adjust status to LPR because of their subjective intent or not until they actually become LPRs following approval of their applications (not all applications are approved). The primary purpose in the United States of nonimmigrants adjusting to permanent resident status in the United States is likely to be viewed by their payers or employers and IRS (assuming they are aware of the pending application¹⁴⁶) as living and working in the United States rather than teaching, conducting research, studying, or acquiring training.

PROSPECTIVE AND RETROACTIVE LOSS LIMITATIONS

Many U.S. tax treaty articles provide benefits for teachers and researchers invited to come to the United States for a "period not anticipated to exceed two years" (called "prospective loss" because the benefit does not extend beyond two years).¹⁴⁷ For a treaty benefit to be lost prospectively, the fact that the status is "expected to exceed two years" must be identified and supported at the outset of the activity. In contrast to retroactive loss provisions, extension of a period of covered activity beyond the two-year limit does not cancel the treaty benefit from the start of the period.¹⁴⁹ If the two-year benefit period is exceeded, the treaty benefit must end two years from the date of arrival for the treaty purpose even if the foreign national who claimed the treaty benefit remains engaged in the qualifying activity for a longer period.¹⁵⁰ Eligibility for U.S. tax relief under prospective loss provisions depends upon original intent at the outset of qualifying activities. If a nonimmigrant expects to remain in the United States (including for a subsequent activity) for more than 24 months, no benefit is available even if the nonimmigrant's actual period of stay in the United States turns out to be shorter.

Most foreign nationals eligible for U.S. tax treaty benefits for teaching or engaging in research enter the United States in either J-1 Professor or J-1 Research Scholar nonimmigrant status. Nonimmigrants who are professors or researchers in an employment-specific status such as H-1B do not qualify for prospective loss benefits if the initial period of their U.S. employment (typically three years in the case of H-1B employees), is longer than two years. J-1 Exchange Visitor programs permit participants issued Forms DS-2019 to enter the United States up to 30 days prior to program start dates for the purpose of settling in (and are allowed to remain up to 30 days after program completion to conclude personal activities and travel). Employment-specific nonimmigrants (such as H-1b), in contrast, may enter up to ten days before the employment on which their status depends can begin, but are not accorded any grace period at the end of their stays unless it was arranged in advance and an extra ten days of admission was included within the Form I-94 expiration date. In either of these situations, the

nonimmigrants cannot begin teaching or engaging in research until the official start date and a J-1 Exchange Visitor entering for a two-year assignment may not be allowed a treaty benefit even though the entry date was determined for a different purpose (i.e., settling in) and because the treaty benefit may not commence until the activities are authorized by law.¹⁵¹

Retroactive loss articles for teaching or research disallow benefits from the beginning of the period if the period in the United States exceeds two years (thereby requiring a suddenly ineligible nonimmigrant to repay any tax benefits received).¹⁵² Under such provisions, the U.S. tax benefit is lost retroactively for the entire period of the visit if the foreign national overstays the benefit period for any reason, including a post-program grace period available under immigration law (although some treaties with this provision allow a longer period if the reason for overstaying the qualifying period is beyond control of the otherwise qualifying individual¹⁵³). Employers must not allow U.S. tax benefits under a retroactive loss provision if they know that the foreign national might overstay the two-year benefit period based on evidence such as the expiration date on a Form I-94 or the end date on a Form DS-2019. Even if dates on these documents do not seem to trigger the retroactive loss provision, any information that the institution might have elsewhere in its internal records (such as an employment contract or even a departmental email message reflecting a longer potential period of stay), should cause benefits to be denied.

An application for extension of stay submitted to USCIS (Form I-539) or processed by a J-1 Exchange Program's Responsible Officer to extend the stay of an otherwise eligible nonimmigrant beyond the end of the two-year benefit period puts the employer on notice that wage withholding should begin.¹⁵⁴ As noted above, J-1 nonimmigrants in two-year exchange programs have difficulty claiming tax treaty benefits under retroactive loss provisions if they take advantage of the 30-day post-program winding down and travel period.

D. Impact of Change in Status

It is not unusual for a nonimmigrant who has been claiming a purpose-of-the-visit tax treaty benefit to change to another nonimmigrant status that allows a different treaty benefit (for example, changing status from F-1 Student to Professor in J-1 or H-1B status). To address such cases, many treaties include a provision that limits the combined benefit period for claims under the two treaty provisions, e.g. one for studying and one for teaching, to a specified maximum period (five tax years in most cases). Even when such a provision exists, however, it never extends the benefit period (a 24-month researcher benefit period, for example, is not restarted by new nonimmigrant status). The purpose of such a provision is to obviate necessity for the nonimmigrant to return to the treaty country to re-establish tax residency (discussed below) due to change of status.

Many U.S. tax treaties prevent "back to back" treaty benefits by stating, for example, "The benefit period provided under Article 21(Teachers) shall not be available to an individual if, during the immediately preceding period, such individual enjoyed the benefits of paragraph (1) of this Article."¹⁵⁵ Such treaties incorporate the long-standing IRS policy requiring foreign nationals to re-establish physical presence and tax residency in the treaty partner country by

remaining outside the United States for one year (365 days) before claiming treaty benefits again¹⁵⁶ (admissions for purposes unrelated to the treaty claim such as vacations in the United States are allowed, however.) This type of policy purports to ensure that a nonimmigrant claiming tax treaty benefits is a tax resident of the treaty partner country when the treaty benefit is first granted, and to prevent foreign nationals from claiming treaty-based U.S. tax exemptions for overly long periods. As noted above, long-standing IRS policy allows treaty benefits to restart following an absence of a year unless the actual treaty language indicates otherwise. Teacher and researcher treaty provisions that state "an individual shall be entitled to the benefits of this paragraph only once," for example ("one-time-use" provisions), provide such indication.¹⁵⁷ Even this restriction does not prevent an otherwise eligible nonimmigrant who entered the United States for less than the two-year benefit period and reenters before the end of that two-year benefit period from claiming the treaty benefit until the end of the period.¹⁵⁸

E. Treaty Benefit Claims

U.S. tax nonresident nonimmigrants (as opposed to entities) claim exemption from U.S. tax on compensation for services by submitting a completed and signed Form 8233 to their employers or payers prior to payment. Form 8233, which must be completed and submitted anew for each calendar year to which the treaty benefit applies, must be reviewed, signed, dated and submitted by the withholding agent to the IRS for validation. Treaty exemption on non-qualified scholarship or fellowship income may also be claimed on Form 8233 if the recipient is receiving both treaty-exempt compensation and scholarship or fellowship income during the same tax year. In order for the treaty claim to be valid, the form must either contain a U.S. individual TIN (SSN or ITIN) or be submitted to the IRS with proof that the TIN has been "applied for". While allowing a withholding exemption on an applied-for basis to employees may seem reasonable due to a longer anticipated relationship and eligibility of an employee who is authorized to work under immigration law for an SSN, it is risky to allow the exemption prospectively in lump-sum payment situations (such as under the honorarium exception), particularly if the payee is not eligible for an SSN and must apply for an ITIN. If a treaty exemption is allowed for withholding purposes, but the foreign national does not provide the withholding agent with a TIN by the Form 1042-S submission deadline, the withholding agent will be held liable for the under withheld amount and any associated penalties and interest.

U.S. tax nonresidents (both individuals and entities) who receive all other types of U.S.-source income and claim treaty-based exemption for tax withholding purposes (including scholarship or fellowship income not claimed on Form 8233) must do so on Form W-8BEN. Unlike Form 8233, Form W-8BEN is not submitted to the IRS, but (like the Forms W-9 and I-9) is retained in the withholding agent's files. A treaty-based Form W-8BEN with a U.S. TIN (an SSN or ITIN) remains valid indefinitely as long as it is used at least once annually as a basis for processing the type of payment to which the exemption claim relates (unless circumstances change and render any information on the form incorrect). "Applied for" exceptions are not available for Form W-8BEN treaty claims as they are for Form 8233 claims. Except for Forms W-8BEN associated with certain payments of dividends,

interest, and royalties described in the form instructions, treaty exemption cannot be granted for withholding purposes if a treaty-based Form W-8BEN does not include an SSN or ITIN at time of submission to the withholding agent.

U.S. tax nonresidents who make treaty-based claims for relief from withholding are required to submit Form 1040NR or 1040NR-EZ even if all income was treaty-exempt. U.S. tax residents, regardless of the nature of the income, make treaty-based claims for withholding purposes on Form W-9 with an attachment detailing the treaty article benefit claimed and citing the saving clause exception. U.S. tax residents (whether as LPRs or under the SPT) claim treaty benefits on Form 1040 following instructions in IRS Publication 519, U.S. Tax Guide for Aliens. On the other hand, treaty-exempt income properly reported as such under exemption code 04 on Form 1042-S by the withholding agent need not be reflected on Form 1040 at all (but a U.S. TIN is required for the claim to be valid). Like Form W-8BEN, Form W-9 is not submitted to the IRS, but retained in the withholding agent's files.

CONCLUSION

In simple summary, the complexities, intricacies, and inconsistencies of immigration and tax rules that must be identified and understood together for tax compliance (not to mention immigration compliance) purposes far, far, far outweighs the guidance available to comply with the law, the clarity of the guidance available, or the availability of training to payment and/or tax professionals who are responsible for applying the rules. To the extent that rules are unclear, unspecified, or inadministrable, compliance is frustrated and payer entities are vulnerable to enforcement that can result in imposition of both back taxes and penalties/interest. At a time when NRA taxation compliance has been announced by IRS as a Tier One priority, this is all the more important.

If one thing is overridingly clear from the detailed discussion of tax and immigration intersections above, it is that payment and tax professionals in this area have a very difficult and complex job (especially when their responsibility includes OFAC compliance¹⁵⁹), not to mention duties and responsibilities that are often undervalued by their employers in light of the severity of penalties applied to non-compliance. Although it might be supposed that substantial, substantive, and credentialing training would be available to educate NRA payment professionals, however, such education is the exception rather than the rule and is typically only provided, if at all, in seminars of 1-2 days that barely have time to mention (much less fully explain) the issues.

If the payer entities were the only ones at risk from misadministration of NRA tax laws, however, presumably they would have the resources to access training (if available) for prospective compliance and to absorb back tax assessments, penalties, and interest. Unfortunately, however, payees in this area of practice are likely to be foreign national individuals who have absolutely no idea of U.S. tax consequences of payments or of potential negative impacts of tax issues (as illustrated by information provided on U.S. tax returns) on their future opportunities in the United States under immigration law.

To make matters worse, in gray areas such as those featured above where separate bodies of law intersect, the presumed specialists to whom the public can turn directly for information are unlikely to understand the bodies of law for which their agencies are directly responsible, not to mention those situations where the two are interdependent. If payers and payees could expect hotline or other specialists that staff immigration or tax help services for even basic or accurate information, as many unfortunately believe that they can, negative outcomes might be more understandable and deemed fairer.

In the meantime, at least the two agencies featured in the lengthy discussion above seem to observe a sort of "Chinese Wall"¹⁶⁰ through which cooperation to help the public (not to mention professionals) navigate these complex areas of practice simply does not occur. To the extent that it does not, or that either or both agencies proceed as if their rules were possible to administer, the public is grossly underserved. If, as in other complex areas of law, private legal or other specialists were readily available for guidance, compliance might be more possible even at a price. Unfortunately, however, NRA taxation has generally not managed to attract enough attention by either tax or immigration professionals for expert guidance to be accessed easily.

Age-old concepts of natural justice and procedural fairness hold that enforcement of law is fair to the extent that targets of enforcement are aware of it and able to comply. In a context such as NRA taxation, this seems all the more true because those affected are foreign nationals whose first language is not English, who are not versed in U.S. law, and/or who count on published guidance and information from government-provided resources to comply with U.S. law and prevent negative consequences. As a matter of logic, furthermore, it is difficult to understand how government entities that represent "the law" can expect compliance if rules are in administrable because "the rules" are not published, clear, complete, or coordinated.

This paper presents an opportunity to the Departments of Treasury and Homeland Security to identify and act on administrative omissions and deficiencies. To the extent that they seize this opportunity, particularly in cooperation so that current gray areas are clearer if not crystal clear, both compliance and the public will be well served.

FOOTNOTES

- ¹ Copyright 2011 Linda Dodd-Major and Paula N. Singer. Linda Dodd-Major is a business immigration attorney in Washington, DC. She created and formerly directed the U.S. Immigration and Naturalization Service's Office of Business Liaison. Paula N. Singer is a partner with the tax law firm Vacovec, Mayotte & Singer LLP in Newton, Massachusetts, and co-founder of Windstar Technologies, Inc., Norwood, MA, a tax and immigration software company, now a Thomson Reuters business and Practice Leader, Thomson Reuters (Tax and Accounting).
- ² Although this discussion focuses particularly on intersections and conflicts between U.S. immigration and tax law, it would be irresponsible not to mention an extraordinarily significant program implemented by the Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC), a fellow U.S. Treasury Department entity of the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) that implements U.S. foreign policy goals. OFAC rules that implement U.S. economic sanctions regulate financial transactions of all kinds from a U.S. source that are completely independent of tax and immigration rules. See <http://www.treasury.gov/resource-center/faqs/Sanctions/Pages/answer.aspx>.
- ³ These terms, used throughout this paper, do not appear in the Internal Revenue Code, which instead uses the terms resident alien and nonresident alien. These terms are avoided herein, as much as possible, to minimize confusion with immigration terms.
- ⁴ Nonimmigrants are foreign nationals who are lawfully admitted to the United States for temporary purposes and typically subject to restrictions incident to the classification of admission commonly called immigration status.
- ⁵ The residency rules became effective for tax year 1985 and later with some transition rules for individuals who were already in the United States when the new rules became effective.
- ⁶ U.S. estate and gift tax rules and procedures are beyond the scope of this article.
- ⁷ IRC Sections 861, 871, and 864(a)
- ⁸ Emphasis on "U.S." tax residency is warranted due to the potential confusion in the course of discussion of U.S. tax treaty benefits with tax residents of tax treaty partner countries.
- ⁹ How U.S. taxation can impact a foreign national's U.S. immigration status is beyond the scope of this article. Although U.S. law generally does not permit the U.S. tax authority to share information about a taxpayer with the U.S. immigration authority, foreign nationals who are required to file U.S. tax returns are routinely asked for them in the course of various immigration proceedings and adjudications as proof of compliance with tax law. If the tax returns reflect income from services during a period in which immigration records show that the "work" was not allowed (or no income from employment during a period where the foreign national's nonimmigrant status was dependent upon the employment), the immigration benefit can be denied.
- ¹⁰ Until recently, IRS compliance enforcement of these rules focused on the tax-exempt sector (particularly colleges, universities, and research institutions mentioned in the introduction), and on financial industries. The former implemented policies, procedures, and systems to deal with tax and immigration-related compliance for payments to foreign nationals. Because most of the individuals that they pay are outside the United States, the latter implemented systems and procedures to deal with the tax rules but not necessarily with the immigration-related rules. Now IRS is enforcing compliance with these rules in the for-profit sector generally for payments made to foreign born individuals both through payroll and accounts payable. The importance of this compliance effort is evidenced by

the fact that NRA withholding on payments to non-U.S. persons was elevated to a Tier I issue by IRS. As they become more aware of these issues, payment professionals in newly targeted entities must also become knowledgeable in these tax and immigration-related rules. That point made, U.S. taxation compliance is not restricted to U.S. entities. For example, in vastly increasing numbers as globalization expands, foreign entities that are doing business in the United States (as evidenced by information on Forms 1042-S reporting their U.S. income or information about sponsored employees electronically provided IRS by USCIS), can expect to become targets of IRS compliance enforcement related to U.S. payroll and corporate tax obligations of which they may be largely unaware.

¹¹ The term visitor is used deliberately and consistently throughout this discussion to refer collectively to a nonimmigrant in temporary status as a visitor for business (B-1 status or WB Waiver Business designation under the visa waiver program) or a visitor for pleasure or tourist (B-2 status or WT Waiver Tourist designation under the visa waiver program). Nonimmigrant visitor visas are unique in that they permit the visa holder to apply for temporary admission to the United States as a visitor for business or visitor for pleasure. Distinction between the two reflects the primary purpose for a visitor admission rather than a purpose to which a given visitor is restricted (business visitors may engage in incidental tourist activities and vice versa). The admission periods for some nonimmigrant visitors, in fact, including Canadian and some Mexican citizens, are not distinguished by business or pleasure purpose (for Canadian visitors admitted to the United States at borders with Canada, in fact, no document indicating temporary status is issued at all).

¹² The current visa waiver countries are Andorra, Australia, Belgium, Brunei, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Monaco, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Portugal, San Marino, Singapore, Slovakia, South Korea, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, and the United Kingdom. A citizen of a visa waiver eligible country may choose to obtain a B-1/B-2 visitor visa or to use eligibility for the Visa Waiver Program (VWP) as a basis for a given visit to the United States. Among restrictions that apply to VWP visitor admissions, the duration of stay is strictly limited to 90 days.

¹³ Canadian citizens are visa exempt, meaning that they are not required to present nonimmigrant visas as a basis for most classifications of temporary admission to the United States.

¹⁴ Visa Waiver Program (VWP) visitors are required to have a valid authorization through the Electronic System for Travel Authorization (ESTA) prior to travel. They are screened at ports of entry into the United States and enrolled in the Department of Homeland Security's US-VISIT program. Although VWP visitors were issued green Forms I-94W until recently that were easy to identify, conversion to the ESTA system has included discontinuance of Form I-94W and application in passports instead of a stamp that is substantially more difficult for payers to find and identify (even more problematic if some of the necessary endorsements are missing).

¹⁵ Even a VWP passport stamp for preapproved ESTA visitors should include these three information basics.

¹⁶ There are two equally valid versions of Form I-94. Form I-94, issued upon admission to the United States at a port of entry, is typically endorsed by hand by an immigration inspector. Form I-94A, generated electronically and quite different in appearance, is issued by USCIS to an applicant whose request to change status or to extend period of stay in the same status and/or to change employment within the same status has been approved and needs a new document to reflect the new terms and conditions.

¹⁷ Form I-9 is the document that must be completed by all employers

for all employees to comply with requirements of the employer sanctions regime of the 1986 Immigration Reform and Control Act. See <http://www.uscis.gov/portal/site/uscis/m.5af9bb95919f35e66f614176543f6d1a/?vgnextoid=31b3ab0a43b5d010VgnVCM10000048f3d6a1RCRD&vgnnextchannel=db029c7755cb9010VgnVCM10000045f3d6a1RCRD>.

¹⁸ If the EAD is used in such a case for employment outside the terms and conditions of the approved H-1B employment, however, the H-1B status is terminated.

¹⁹ An EAD (Form I-766, a digitized credit card sized document) permits a nonimmigrant holder (with certain exceptions for a foreign student in OPT) to work in the United States without restriction as an employee or independent contractor during the validity period of the document.

²⁰ Not all activities of a foreign national that are compensated constitute "work" as that term is loosely used in immigration law even if tax law deems them to be independent personal services performed by and taxable to an independent contractor. The concept of "work" is generally distinguished under immigration law as being part of the U.S. labor market and is important to protect U.S. workers from displacement via restrictions and procedures specified by law, regulation, and policy.

²¹ To be clear, the positions of many nonimmigrants in employment-specific status involve and even require services to be performed off the premises of their approved employers. In such cases, it is important for payers to distinguish if services are arranged directly with a nonimmigrant who works accordingly as an independent contractor or if they are arranged through an approved employer that designates its employment-specific employee to perform services on its behalf incidental to the approved nonimmigrant employment. In the latter case, the contracting party is the employer and taxation/ payments are made accordingly.

²² Although an approval notice in such case implicitly relates to the filed itinerary, the itinerary does not appear on the approval notice. Without substantial due diligence, accordingly, it is difficult if not impossible to know if a given venue is authorized to accept the services of a given nonimmigrant performer unless the terms of a contract cover any aspects of the services that are subject to immigration law. An attestation signed by the "sponsoring" agent may be the best proof a host venue can get that the performance is authorized. In the case of an O-1 artist or entertainer, an agent/petitioner may add additional performances or engagements during the validity period of the petition as long as the additional performances or engagements require an alien of O-1 caliber. As with the contents of the petition, there is no way for a host/payer to ensure that this requirement is met other than through a signed statement from the approved agent/petitioner.

²³ See 8 CFR section 214.6(b)- "Engage in business activities at a professional level means the performance of prearranged [emphasis added] business activities for a United States entity, including an individual. It does not authorize the establishment of a business or practice in the United States in which the professional will be, in substance, self-employed. A professional will be deemed to be self-employed if he or she will be rendering services to a corporation or entity of which the professional is the sole or controlling shareholder or owner."

²⁴ Nonimmigrant visitors are recognized by Forms I-94 endorsed B-1 (business) or B-2 (tourist), visa waiver admissions WB (waiver business) or WT (waiver tourist), or the lack of Forms I-94 if they are Canadian citizens who entered from contiguous countries or Mexican citizens who entered for border-related activities as used to be facilitated by "Border Crossing Cards" (today, a B-1/B-2 laser visa may be used as a visa or as a border crossing card).

²⁵ Section 431 of the American Competitiveness and Workforce Improvement Act (ACWIA) of 1998 amending section 212 of the Immigration and Nationality Act

²⁶ For more information about foreign nationals who may provide self-employment services in the United States and how to identify them by their immigration documents, see Dodd-Major and Singer, Honorarium and Other Payments to Independent Contractors: A Guide to Immigration and Tax Administration, Windstar Publishing, Inc. (2011).

²⁷ Immigration law defines dependents simply as spouses and unmarried children under 21.

²⁸ Tax law applies fact-specific tests. See http://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/uscode_sec_26_00000152----000-.html.

²⁹ See [USCIS Memuitem](#)

³⁰ 67 Stat. 462, 43 U.S.C. §§ 1,331 et. seq

³¹ 43 U.S.C. §§ 1,301 et seq

³² For definition and explanation of this area, see <http://ocsenergy.anl.gov/guide/ocs/index.cfm>.

³³ For a definition of this term and explanation of U.S. law that governs this area, see www.boemre.gov/aboutboemre/FedOffshoreLands.htm.

³⁴ LMSB Industry Directive #1 (LMSB-04-0909-037) on U.S. Outer Continental Shelf Activity (Oct. 28, 2009).

³⁵ *Journymen AFL-CIO v. Reno*, 73 F.3d 1134 (DC Cir. 1996). As a result of this holding, the Department of Labor will not certify a U.S. labor shortage for workers on the OCS, with the result that services of a particular classification of foreign worker such as H-2B that requires temporary labor certification may not be used for work on the OCS.

³⁶ See provisions of the Jones Act set forth at 8 CFR 141.20.

³⁷ All of the tax rules for such payments for services, depending on whether the payment is for employment or self-employment, must be followed as well. For a complete discussion, see Singer, U.S. Taxation of Scholarship and Fellowship Grants, Windstar Publishing, Inc. (2011).

³⁸ If a scholarship or fellowship recipient is engaged in full-time study, his or her nonimmigrant status must permit study as the primary activity.

³⁹ For example, an H-1B (temporary worker) may engage in part-time study and be paid a scholarship but the pay for their H-1B activities is taxed as wages regardless of any term (such as "fellowship") used for their pay. IRS provides tax rules for determining when a grant constitutes wages or independent contractor income in ILM200944027 and discusses the terms for grants used to fund research in more detail in ILM20117026. Some U.S. government grants specifically state that the grant may not be used for employment, in which case, grants departments must coordinate with both immigration and payment professionals to ensure compliance with both immigration and tax law requirements related to the recipient's U.S. activities and taxability.

⁴⁰ It is not uncommon for grants that fund clinical research to specifically prevent payers from collecting information from the research subjects needed to comply with U.S. tax law (for fear of chilling participation in trials or tainting research results).

⁴¹ For a thorough discussion of the tax return rules for foreign nationals, see Singer, "The 10 Rules of U.S. Taxation of Payments to Foreign Nationals," Tax Analysts, Tax Notes International, Jan. 7, 2008, p. 55.

⁴² The rules for treaty-exempt income of tax residents were added to the section 1441 and 1461 regulations based on comments on the proposed regulations made by author Singer. Reporting on Form 1042-S, which is important for tax return preparation and IRS review of tax return treaty claims as well as for information exchange, was not addressed in those comments.

⁴³ See Form 1116 and instructions and IRS Publication 514, Foreign Tax Credits for Individuals. Foreign tax credits generally do not apply to state income taxes except for certain states bordering Canada that allow credits for certain Canadian income taxes.

⁴⁴ Rev. Rul. 91-58, 1991-2 C.B. 340. For a discussion of these tax and withholding rules, see Singer, "U.S. Tax Code and Treaty Solutions for Resident Aliens Working Abroad," Tax Analysts, Tax Notes International, May 5, 2008, p. 421.

⁴⁵ Tax regulations covering "bona fide residents" for IRC section 911 purposes, set forth in Treas. Reg. section 1.871-2(b), identify when a foreign national became a "resident" for U.S. tax purposes under pre-IRC section 7701(b) rules. Cases under section 911 elaborate on the factors that support a bona fide residence in a foreign country. For a list of 11 factors, see *Sochurek v. Commr* (7th Cir. 1962) 800 F2nd 34, 9 AFTR 883. Scott, Jr. v. U.S. (Ct. Cl., 1970) 432 F2nd 1388, 26AFTR 2nd 70-5667 concurs with *Sochurek* and adds a 12th factor.

⁴⁶ Long-term residents who lost their LPR status after June 3, 2004 and before June 17, 2008, are not relieved of the obligations for U.S. taxes as a tax resident, including submitting annual tax returns and related disclosure forms, until they have submitted a Form 8854 to the IRS as required by IRC section 7701(n). Long-term residents who are covered expatriates for purposes of the exit tax described in IRC section 877A must also submit a Form 8854 certifying compliance with their U.S. tax return obligations for the five years ending with the calendar year in which their LPR status was lost. (IRS Publication 519 states that long-term residents expatriating before June 4, 2004 must also submit a Form 8854 but some may have expatriated before the form was introduced.) Obligations as a tax resident do not end if this form is not submitted.

⁴⁷ For more information about these procedures, see Singer, *A Guide for Filing IRS Forms 1042 and 1042-S*, Windstar Publishing, Inc. (2011).

⁴⁸ Tax withholding rules and exceptions are explained in IRS Publication 515, *Withholding of Tax on Nonresident Aliens and Foreign Entities*.

⁴⁹ Rev. Rul. 204-109, 2004-50 IRB 1 obsolescing earlier rulings

⁵⁰ Residents of Canada, Mexico and Korea (South); U.S. nationals; and students and business apprentices from India. The conditions vary by category of taxpayer.

⁵¹ See <http://www.irs.gov/newsroom/article/0,,id=106182,00.html>.

⁵² Explained and illustrated in IRS Publication 519.

⁵³ See IRC section 874 and the regulations under that section.

⁵⁴ Refer to IRS.gov for tax treaties and IRS Publication 901, *U.S. Tax Treaties for overviews of treaty provisions*.

⁵⁵ Refer to ssa.gov/international for Social Security agreements and related procedures.

⁵⁶ See for example, Article 1(4) and (5) of the 2006 U.S. Model Treaty. The descriptive term "saving clause" does not appear in tax treaties.

⁵⁷ This is the Department of State designation for these countries which were formerly part of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. IRS still uses the older term, Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS).

⁵⁸ Purpose of the visit is a term of art in the context of tax treaty determinations. Inclusion of the word "visit" is not intended to restrict

applicability to foreign nationals who enter the United States as nonimmigrant visitors.

⁵⁹ Treas. Reg. section 6012-1(b)

⁶⁰ Only the agreement with Italy which bases coverage on nationality lacks such a provision.

⁶¹ Form 8843

⁶² For more information about how Social Security agreements impose SE tax, see Singer, "Certain Nonresidents Are Now Obligated for U.S. Self-Employment Tax," Tax Analysts, Tax Notes International, Apr. 4, 2011, p. 55.

⁶³ For purposes of Form I-9, however, since CFAs with Micronesia and the Marshall Islands have been amended to address Form I-9 procedures and the CFA with Palau has not, only citizens of Micronesia or the Marshall Islands may prove a combination of identity and work authorization with their foreign passport and Form I-94.

⁶⁴ *Sang J. Park & Won Kyung O. v. Comm.*; *Sang J. Park v. Comm.*, 136 T.C. No. 28 holding that the FCN treaty with South Korea did not add an Other Income Article exempting U.S.-source gambling income from tax, a provision available in treaties with many other countries.

⁶⁵ For a discussion of the nonapplicability of the Jay Treaty (and other treaties with North American Indians) to U.S. income taxes, see PLR9215009.

⁶⁶ Section 4 of the United States International Organizations Immunities Act of 1945 (PL 291-79) amended the Internal Revenue Code to add to the exclusions from tax for employees of foreign governments, exemptions from tax for compensation received for official duties to organizations covered by the Act. These exemptions are in IRC section 893.

⁶⁷ See AM2011-001 (Feb. 25, 2011).

⁶⁸ 8 CFR section 214.6(b) discussed in footnote 17

⁶⁹ The complete text of all Hague Conventions is available at <http://www.hcch.net/e/conventions/index.html>. A model apostille is illustrated on the last page of the Hague Convention.

⁷⁰ Because of the use of the term "aliens" in IRC section 7701(b), there is an unanswered question about whether U.S. tax residency status of non-U.S. citizens who are U.S. nationals should also be determined under these rules. U.S. nationals are technically not "aliens" as that term is defined under the Immigration and Nationality Act, a fact obviously overlooked when Congress wrote this tax law. U.S. nationals are individuals who accorded noncitizen nationality based on birth or parentage in the outlying U.S. possessions, American Samoa and the Northern Mariana Islands. Individuals born in other U.S. possessions – Puerto Rico, Guam, and the U.S. Virgin Islands are U.S. citizens.

⁷¹ A foreign national approved for an immigrant visa and admission to the United States does not meet this test until actually admitted as an LPR.

⁷² See IRC Section 7701(b)(1)(6).

⁷³ See IRC section 7701(b)(3).

⁷⁴ Other noncountable days include 1) commuting days from a residence in Canada or Mexico to a job in the United States, 2) any day in transit between two foreign locations, 3) any day an individual is unable to leave the United States because of a medical condition that arose while in the United States, and 4) nominal presence of no more than 10 days as long as the foreign national has a closer connection to a foreign country.

⁷⁵ IRC section 7701(b)(7)(D). It would be difficult if not impossible anyway for a foreign national in nonimmigrant crewmember status to

operate a trade or business in the United States without violating D status because they are not authorized to work in the United States and their physical presence is authorized only during stopovers in the United States between onboard duties in transit for their carrier employers.

⁷⁶ IRS Publication 519, *U.S. Tax Guide for Aliens* describes and illustrates dual-status tax returns.

⁷⁷ See Treas. Reg. 301.7701(b)-3. Generally, specified procedures explained in IRS Publication 519, *U.S. Tax Guide for Aliens* must be followed for these exceptions to apply.

⁷⁸ An LPR who is a tax resident of a country with which the United States has an income tax treaty (called a "dual resident") may be a U.S. tax nonresident under the applicable treaty's residency tiebreaker rule (discussed below). Electing to be so treated may have implications for certain long-term residents explained in IRS Publication 519 under the heading "Tax Expatriation."

⁷⁹ The first-year choice election and marriage-based election and related procedures are explained in IRS Publication 519. The marriage-based election may also be made for wage-withholding purposes, but such an election does not apply for FICA or NRA withholding purposes.

⁸⁰ For more information about U.S. possessions, see IRS Publication 550, *U.S. Possessions*.

⁸¹ Rev. Rul. 75-483, 1975-2 C.B. 286, and Rev. Rul. 77-75, 1977-1 C.B. 344

⁸² Presidential Proclamation 5928 on December 27, 1988.

⁸³ For IRS's analysis of the application of the tax residency rules to the OCS and ocean activities, see PLR9012023.

⁸⁴ IRC section 863(d)(2).

⁸⁵ See IRC section 7701(b)(5). Exempt individuals also include foreign athletes engaged in a U.S. charitable event but only for days engaging in the event, not for days spent training for the event.

⁸⁶ As defined by IRC section 7701(a)(18).

⁸⁷ Although the tax code includes Q students, the immigration law does not currently provide for students in Q status.

⁸⁸ To illustrate this exemption, a student who enters the United States under F-1 classification on December 31 of one calendar year and departs on January 1 of the next calendar year uses two of the five possible exempt years even if the student is not present in the United States for any other period during either of the two applicable years.

⁸⁹ This closer connection extension is claimed by checking the box on line 12 of Form 8843 to which the supporting statement must be attached.

⁹⁰ Q-2 Walsh Cultural Visitor status is not currently being issued.

⁹¹ The use of the term "teachers and trainees" on IRS documents such as Form 8843, *Statement of Exempt Individuals*, frequently causes confusion among taxpayers about who should complete the form. It also can cause confusion among those who know they must complete the form as to which part they should complete. For example, J-1 Research Scholars frequently incorrectly complete Part II, *Students*, of the form because they consider themselves to be students rather than teachers or trainees.

⁹² The tax law procedures cause anomalies such as when a foreign national spends more than seven calendar years in the United States in J-1 nonstudent status. Years one and two are exempt from counting days with the result that the foreign national is a tax nonresident. Years three through seven are years spent as not exempt, and most likely as a tax resident, because days count. Year eight is again an

exempt from counting year with the result that the foreign national reverts to tax nonresident status. Arguably, the residency end date could be used to override this result but there is no IRS published guidance supporting this position.

⁹³ See IRC section 872(b)(3) and the regulations under that section.

⁹⁴ Dual residents might be able to use a tax treaty tiebreaker rule to choose a later residency start date or an earlier residency end date, documenting the facts supporting the claim on Form 8833 for tax return purposes (no form or procedures have been issued for withholding purposes).

⁹⁵ While it is possible under IRC section 7701(b) for a foreign national to choose a different tax year than calendar year, a discussion of the procedures impacted by such a choice is beyond the scope of this article because such a choice is not tied to U.S. immigration status.

⁹⁶ See Treas. Reg. section 301.7701(b)-1(b)(2).

⁹⁷ Such an immigration finding should be based on all the facts and circumstances, however. This issue can arise when an LPR applies for naturalization. Form N-400, *Application for Naturalization* asks the following question in Part 10: "Since becoming a lawful permanent resident, have you ever failed to file a required Federal, State, or local tax return? LPRs residing abroad who file a Form 1040NR under a tax treaty tiebreaker rule or as former residents of a State file a part-year return in their year of departure and nonresident state returns thereafter, may or may not find that the issue of their past nonresident tax filings raised during their naturalization process if these returns were not within the tax years required for the naturalization process. Regardless of the immigration impact of a treaty tiebreaker claim of U.S. tax nonresidency status, such a claim causes the tax years as a treaty U.S. nonresident not to count for purposes of determining whether the LPR is a long-term resident under IRC section 877.

⁹⁸ 57 FR 15237-54 (Apr. 27, 1992)

⁹⁹ Treas. Reg. 301.7701(b)-1(b)(3).

¹⁰⁰ IRS reduced penalties to 5 percent for certain individuals entering the Offshore Voluntary Disclosure Initiative (OVDI). See FAQ 52 available at <http://www.irs.gov/businesses/international/article/0,,id=235699,00.html>.

¹⁰¹ See, for example, procedures on the respective websites of embassies with the U.K. and India.

¹⁰² The rules for I-9 completion are that Section 1 must be completed following an offer and acceptance of employment, Section 1 must be completed by the new employee no later than close of business on day one of employment services, and Section 2 must be completed by the employer (listing documentation of identity and current work authorization provided by the employee) no later than three business days after Section 1 is completed. As a practical matter, an employer may choose to have the Form I-9 completed before employment services begin, on the first day of employment services, or any other combination as long as completion falls within the permissible range and Section 1 is completed before Section 2. The only caveat is that employers should apply I-9 completion policies in the same manner with respect to all employees and never single out foreign born employees for different treatment.

¹⁰³ See settlement agreement at <http://www.justice.gov/crt/about/osc/pdf/publications/Settlements/Maricopa.pdf>.

¹⁰⁴ Constructive knowledge is a concept in the employer sanctions regime set forth in immigration law (8 CFR 274a). It is different from actual knowledge in that it is "fairly ... inferred through notice of certain facts and circumstances that would lead a person, through the exercise of reasonable care, to know about a certain condition". Employer representatives should be knowledgeable enough to make basic and reasonable inferences of important facts such as work

authorization of employees. That said, an inference is not sufficient for a conclusion until it is resolved. It is up to the employer to follow up such inferences with sufficient due diligence to resolve a suspected fact one way or the other.

¹⁰⁵ This can also occur because payment professionals occasionally cannot obtain the information from the department within the organization that has control over the data.

¹⁰⁶ Copies of versions of this form are available on the websites of many educational organizations.

¹⁰⁷ It is not unheard of that foreign nationals are ignorant of U.S. tax residency rules and provide information that is incorrect or falsely claim non resident status to obtain tax benefits for which tax residents are not eligible.

¹⁰⁸ Form I-9 requests attestation of status as a “US person,” the tax definition of which may be very different from the individual’s understanding of the meaning of the term.

¹⁰⁹ Under Treas. Reg. 1.1441-1(2)(i), a Form 8233 is a certificate of foreign status for a nonresident alien receiving compensation from personal services. To be valid, this form must be sent to the IRS, which might make sense for tax treaty claims but is overly burdensome for a document that serves merely as a certificate of foreign status. Most payers use a Form W-8BEN for the purpose of documenting foreign individual vendors on the premise that they might also have some other type of payment in the future. (However, the certification that income is not ECI on Form W-8BEN is inconsistent with income that is taxable compensation for U.S. services, which is ECI.)

¹¹⁰ Effective for 2013, payers must also be complying with the Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act (FATCA) which imposes a new 30 percent withholding on certain payments to foreign financial institutions (FFIs) and nonfinancial foreign entities (NFFEs) that fail to identify their account holders, investors, and owners who are U.S. persons. IRS Notice 2011-34 requires a due diligence review of account holders to determine new and pre-existing U.S. accounts during 2013. The Notice requires follow-up on accounts with certain U.S. indicia and documentation that is acceptable for rebutting U.S. person status. The due diligence required to determine U.S. person status may in fact be a more daunting task than this Notice anticipates considering the issues addressed by this article.

¹¹¹ See 8 CFR 274a.5.g

¹¹² For a discussion about the history of this issue, see Dodd-Major, “The Nexus Between Tax and Immigration,” Tax Analysts, Tax Notes International, Sep. 8, 2008, p. 841.

¹¹³ Under current SSA rules, foreign nationals must wait ten days after entering the United States in work-authorized status to apply for an SSN. This lapse period is designed to allow time for information obtained by the immigration service upon the foreign national’s admission to the United States to be entered into the Systematic Alien Verification for Entitlements (SAVE) system.

¹¹⁴ See LMSB Industry Directive #1 (LMSB-04-0909-037, Oct. 28, 2009) on U.S. Outer Continental Shelf Activity for a discussion of the rules applicable to workers on the OCS. See GCM39552 (Sep. 03, 1986) citing IRS Rev. Rul. 86-108, 1986-2 C.B. 175 and interpreting the OCS as included in the definition of United States for FICA and FUTA tax purposes.

¹¹⁵ Tax returns filed with IRS that 1) include a Form W-2 with an SSN that does not match the name of the individual filing the return, 2) have an SSN recorded on the return that does not match the SSN recorded on the return, or 3) include an invalid SSN recorded on the return will result in a request from the ITIN Unit to the taxpayer to complete a Form W-7 application for an ITIN if the taxpayer requests a refund.

¹¹⁶ Rev. Proc. 2006-10 available on IRS.gov describes how to become an Acceptance Agent.

¹¹⁷ This exemption also applies to LPRs but not to U.S. citizens or citizens of the Philippines. LPRs working for a foreign government who choose to apply for naturalization might be faced with a request for a U.S. tax return for years in which no U.S. tax return was due because of this tax law exemption.

¹¹⁸ U.S. citizens are specifically exempted from coverage except for dual-citizens of the United States and the Philippines.

¹¹⁹ U.S. citizens who are employed by a foreign government or an international organization must report their wages as self-employment income which is subject to self-employment tax to the extent that such services are performed within the United States.

¹²⁰ IRC section 7701(a)(18) defines an “international organization” as a public international organization entitled to enjoy privileges, exemptions, and immunities as an international organization under the International Organization Immunities Act.

¹²¹ This exemption also applies to LPRs but not to U.S. citizens or citizens of the Philippines.

¹²² See Wolfgang Metz v. Commissioner, U.S. Tax Court (Jan. 17, 1985) for a discussion of facts supporting employment by a foreign employer (or not, as in this case).

¹²³ Foreign national students, even those not in a student-specific category, who are employed on the college campus at which they are regularly enrolled and attending classes are covered by the same Social Security and Medicare exemption rules under IRC section 3121(b)(10) that apply to students who are U.S. citizens. If available, the “NRA FICA exemption” should be applied first to eligible individuals, as that exemption is not dependent upon enrollment at or working for an educational institution.

¹²⁴ The rate of 14 percent is intended to approximate the recipient’s tax at a 15 percent rate on the grant, less one personal exemption amount. However, with the lowest tax rate at 10 percent, most recipients of taxable grants will be owed a tax refund, which must be applied for on a Form 1040NR or 1040NR-EZ tax return.

¹²⁵ IRC section 1441(a).

¹²⁶ Refer to IRS Publication 970, Tax Benefits for Education for the definition of a “candidate for a degree.”

¹²⁷ See the instructions for Form W-8BEN.

¹²⁸ Tax residents regardless of their immigration status (and U.S. citizens and LPRs) are not subject to withholding or reporting on their taxable grants pursuant to IRS Notice 87-31, 1987-1 C.B. 475.

¹²⁹ section 1441(b)(2) specifies the following payers: 1) a tax-exempt organization under section 501(c)(3) of the Code that is tax-exempt under section 501(a); 2) a foreign government; 3) A federal, state, or local government agency; 4) an international organization as defined in section 7701(a)(18) of the Code; or 5) an international organization under the Fulbright-Hays Act.

¹³⁰ See IRS Publication 970, Taxable Benefits for Education, for more information about these rules.

¹³¹ For more information about the required procedures for these reductions, see IRS Publication 515 under the heading, “Alternative Withholding Procedures,” page 21. These procedures are based on Rev. Proc. 88-24, 1988-1 C.B. 800 which has not been updated for changes in the tax law since 1988.

¹³² IRC section 3401(a)(2) and IRC section 3121(a) in conjunction with IRC 3121(b)

¹³³ Because of the special IRC rules for these workers the 30 percent NRA withholding and Form 1042-S information reporting which normally apply to tax nonresidents does not apply to H-2A workers’ pay. See <http://www.irs.gov/businesses/small/international/article/0,,id=96422,00.html> for more information about foreign agricultural workers.

¹³⁴ The special wage-withholding and Form W-4 rules apply to tax nonresident employees as described in IRS Publication 15 do not apply to H-2A agricultural workers. It is not clear whether these rules apply to H-2A workers.

¹³⁵ IRC sections 861(a)(3) and 864(b)(1). For a description of the application of U.S. wage-withholding and other employment tax rules to nonresident alien flight attendants working for U.S. carriers, see TAM201014051 (April 9, 2010).

¹³⁶ Article 14(3) of the 2006 U.S. Model Treaty.

¹³⁷ The definition of the United States as it relates geographically to ocean-going ships depends on the types of activities that the foreign national is engaged in (discussed above under “The United States Defined”).

¹³⁸ IRC section 3121(b) and Rev. Rul. 78-216, 1978-1 C.B. 305.

¹³⁹ U.S. Treasury Explanations of treaties define primary purpose to require full-time engagement in qualifying activities.

¹⁴⁰ Research generally must be for the public benefit and not for a private person or organization. For example, a research project the results of which will be owned by a for-profit company would not satisfy the public benefit requirement.

¹⁴¹ For example, ECFMG, the licensing board for physicians requires the category Alien Physician for a foreign physician entering as a J-1 Exchange Visitor who will have any patient contact. This is the case even if the primary purpose for the visit is to engage in research. To support the actual reason for the visit, some employers issuing Form DS-2019 will use the designation Alien Physician - Research Scholar.

¹⁴² J-1 Student Interns are Students for tax residency determination purposes because Congress chose to treat them as Students instead of providing another category. However, they are students at their foreign educational organizations who are involved in training at the U.S. educational organization. Treaty benefit for both students and trainees with amounts paid by U.S. sources are the same in most treaties.

¹⁴³ Foreign nationals who become resident aliens, or who are no longer tax residents of the treaty country also lose treaty reductions on their passive income such as dividends, interest, royalties, and rents.

¹⁴⁴ The instructions for Form W-8ECI which allows recipients with ECI to avoid withholding is not allowed for individual recipients of compensation for personal services (because they are not expected, based on past history of foreign nationals generally, to voluntarily comply with their U.S. tax obligations).

¹⁴⁵ See Announcement 2011-18, the Competent Authority Agreement on the Interpretation of Article 19 with the treaty with China.

¹⁴⁶ An employer based petition for LPR status would be known or deemed known, but a family-based petition might not be known.

¹⁴⁷ See for example, Article 21(1) of the treaty with the Philippines.

¹⁴⁸ A series of U.S. Tax Court cases under Article 21(1) of the treaty with the Philippines involving secondary school teachers suggest that the prospective loss may be based on facts known only to the individual at the outset of the assignment but that result is not consistent with the plain meaning of the treaty language tying the “period not expected to exceed 2 years” to the invitation by the organization. See, for

example, *Maginot v. Comm’r*, T.C. Summary Opinion 2009-183, and *Santos v. Comm’r*, 135 T.C. No. 22 (Oct. 18, 2010).

¹⁴⁹ There is anecdotal evidence that IRS will not allow the treaty benefit beyond the end of the initial benefit period, however, but the plain language of the prospective-loss provisions do not require such an interpretation. There is no published guidance on this matter.

¹⁵⁰ See the Treasury Explanation for Article 21(1) of the treaty with the Philippines for a discussion of how this type of benefit works. This Treasury Explanation was written at a time when such Explanations, including commentaries were expected to provide general guidance about how tax treaty provisions work. Most recently, Treasury has cautioned that these explanations are for negotiation purposes only. However, they have not been supplanted with any general guidance related to treaties.

¹⁵¹ Arguably, Rev. Rul. 89-5, 1989-1 C.B. 353, would allow the 30-day settling-in period to be ignored but in this compliance enforcement environment few employers would take such a position absent specific IRS published guidance on the matter.

¹⁵² See for example, Article 20A of the treaty with the United Kingdom and its Treasury Explanation.

¹⁵³ See for example, Article 22(1) of the treaty with the Netherlands stating that the benefit is lost for the entire period of the visit unless the competent authorities agree otherwise.

¹⁵⁴ IRS has issued no procedures for employers to follow when this occurs but IRS personnel at NRA taxation conferences have recommended issuing amended Forms 1042-S and 1042 and a Form W-2C showing taxable wages for the prior tax year(s). The foreign national who lost treaty benefit retroactively should submit amended tax return(s) to pay the taxes and may request an abatement of penalties if the change in circumstances was not known or anticipated at the beginning of the visit.

¹⁵⁵ See Article 22(4) of the treaty with the Philippines.

¹⁵⁶ See GCM37047, and Revenue Rulings 56-164 and 77-242. An explanation of this policy is not included in IRS Publication 901, U.S. Tax Treaties. For a discussion of how this policy applies, see Singer, Tax Treaty Benefits for Foreign Nationals Performing U.S. Services, Chapter 10, “The IRS Requirement to Re-Establish Residency,” Windstar Publishing, Inc. (2010).

¹⁵⁷ See for example, Article 20, Teachers and Researchers, of the treaty with France.

¹⁵⁸ See Rev. Rul. 89-5 ruling that the period for exemption begins on the date of arrival for the purpose of the visit in the context of treaty provisions for teachers and researchers. IRS applies this policy to provisions for students and trainees as well.

¹⁵⁹ It has been shocking to the authors in dealing with payment professionals who regularly make payments to foreign persons and entities how little is known about OFAC compliance, how little the relationship between routine payments and OFAC compliance is understood, and/or how detached OFAC compliance can be from standard payroll and accounts payable. For example, one payer believed that paying for a service that compares payments made against the OFAC list twice per year constituted satisfactory compliance, ignoring the obvious purpose of OFAC rules to prevent payments from being made!

¹⁶⁰ See http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chinese_wall.

**GO TO COVER
COVER TO CONTENTS**